

Cabinet

Wednesday 23 May 2018 at 2.00 pm

**To be held at the Town Hall,
Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH**

The Press and Public are Welcome to Attend

Membership

Councillor Julie Dore	(Leader of the Council)
Councillor Olivia Blake	(Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader)
Councillor Ben Curran	(Cabinet Member for Planning and Development)
Councillor Jackie Drayton	(Cabinet Member for Children, Young People & Families)
Councillor Jayne Dunn	(Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community Safety)
Councillor Mazher Iqbal	(Cabinet Member for Business and Investment)
Councillor Mary Lea	(Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure)
Councillor Bryan Lodge	(Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene)
Councillor Cate McDonald	(Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care)
Councillor Jack Scott	(Cabinet Member for Transport and Sustainability)

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE MEETING

The Cabinet discusses and takes decisions on the most significant issues facing the City Council. These include issues about the direction of the Council, its policies and strategies, as well as city-wide decisions and those which affect more than one Council service. Meetings are chaired by the Leader of the Council, Councillor Julie Dore.

A copy of the agenda and reports is available on the Council's website at www.sheffield.gov.uk. You can also see the reports to be discussed at the meeting if you call at the First Point Reception, Town Hall, Pinstone Street entrance. The Reception is open between 9.00 am and 5.00 pm, Monday to Thursday and between 9.00 am and 4.45 pm. You may not be allowed to see some reports because they contain confidential information. These items are usually marked * on the agenda.

Members of the public have the right to ask questions or submit petitions to Cabinet meetings and recording is allowed under the direction of the Chair. Please see the website or contact Democratic Services for further information regarding public questions and petitions and details of the Council's protocol on audio/visual recording and photography at council meetings.

Cabinet meetings are normally open to the public but sometimes the Cabinet may have to discuss an item in private. If this happens, you will be asked to leave. Any private items are normally left until last. If you would like to attend the meeting please report to the First Point Reception desk where you will be directed to the meeting room.

Cabinet decisions are effective six working days after the meeting has taken place, unless called-in for scrutiny by the relevant Scrutiny Committee or referred to the City Council meeting, in which case the matter is normally resolved within the monthly cycle of meetings.

If you require any further information please contact Simon Hughes on 0114 273 4014 or email simon.hughes@sheffield.gov.uk.

FACILITIES

There are public toilets available, with wheelchair access, on the ground floor of the Town Hall. Induction loop facilities are available in meeting rooms.

Access for people with mobility difficulties can be obtained through the ramp on the side to the main Town Hall entrance.

**CABINET AGENDA
23 MAY 2018**

Order of Business

- 1. Welcome and Housekeeping Arrangements**
- 2. Apologies for Absence**
- 3. Exclusion of Public and Press**
Appendix A to Item 12 'Contract to Provide Agency Services to Yorkshire Water (May 2018-March 2021)' - is not available to the public and press because they contain exempt information described in Paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person.
- 4. Declarations of Interest** (Pages 1 - 4)
Members to declare any interests they have in the business to be considered at the meeting
- 5. Minutes of Previous Meeting** (Pages 5 - 12)
To approve the minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 18 April 2018.
- 6. Public Questions and Petitions**
To receive any questions or petitions from members of the public
- 7. Items Called-In For Scrutiny**
The Director of Legal and Governance will inform the Cabinet of any items called in for scrutiny since the last meeting of the Cabinet
- 8. Retirement of Staff** (Pages 13 - 16)
Report of the Executive Director, Resources.
- 9. Project Apollo - Supporting NEET Care Leavers (Contract Award)** (Pages 17 - 30)
Report of the Executive Director, People Services.
- 10. Month 12 Capital Approvals** (Pages 31 - 80)
Report of the Executive Director, Resources.
- 11. Contract to Provide Agency Services to Yorkshire Water (May 2018 - March 2021)** (Pages 81 - 88)
Report of the Executive Director, Place.

**NOTE: The next meeting of Cabinet will be held on
Wednesday 20 June 2018 at 2.00 pm**

ADVICE TO MEMBERS ON DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

If you are present at a meeting of the Council, of its executive or any committee of the executive, or of any committee, sub-committee, joint committee, or joint sub-committee of the authority, and you have a **Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)** relating to any business that will be considered at the meeting, you must not:

- participate in any discussion of the business at the meeting, or if you become aware of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interest during the meeting, participate further in any discussion of the business, or
- participate in any vote or further vote taken on the matter at the meeting.

These prohibitions apply to any form of participation, including speaking as a member of the public.

You **must**:

- leave the room (in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct)
- make a verbal declaration of the existence and nature of any DPI at any meeting at which you are present at which an item of business which affects or relates to the subject matter of that interest is under consideration, at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent.
- declare it to the meeting and notify the Council's Monitoring Officer within 28 days, if the DPI is not already registered.

If you have any of the following pecuniary interests, they are your **disclosable pecuniary interests** under the new national rules. You have a pecuniary interest if you, or your spouse or civil partner, have a pecuniary interest.

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain, which you, or your spouse or civil partner undertakes.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from your council or authority) made or provided within the relevant period* in respect of any expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

*The relevant period is the 12 months ending on the day when you tell the Monitoring Officer about your disclosable pecuniary interests.

- Any contract which is made between you, or your spouse or your civil partner (or a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest) and your council or authority –
 - under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and
 - which has not been fully discharged.

- Any beneficial interest in land which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, have and which is within the area of your council or authority.
- Any licence (alone or jointly with others) which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, holds to occupy land in the area of your council or authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) –
 - the landlord is your council or authority; and
 - the tenant is a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest which you, or your spouse or your civil partner has in securities of a body where -
 - (a) that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of your council or authority; and
 - (b) either -
 - the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or
 - if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

If you attend a meeting at which any item of business is to be considered and you are aware that you have a **personal interest** in the matter which does not amount to a DPI, you must make verbal declaration of the existence and nature of that interest at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent. You should leave the room if your continued presence is incompatible with the 7 Principles of Public Life (selflessness; integrity; objectivity; accountability; openness; honesty; and leadership).

You have a personal interest where –

- a decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial standing (including interests in land and easements over land) of you or a member of your family or a person or an organisation with whom you have a close association to a greater extent than it would affect the majority of the Council Tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the ward or electoral area for which you have been elected or otherwise of the Authority's administrative area, or
- it relates to or is likely to affect any of the interests that are defined as DPIs but are in respect of a member of your family (other than a partner) or a person with whom you have a close association.

Guidance on declarations of interest, incorporating regulations published by the Government in relation to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests, has been circulated to you previously.

You should identify any potential interest you may have relating to business to be considered at the meeting. This will help you and anyone that you ask for advice to fully consider all the circumstances before deciding what action you should take.

In certain circumstances the Council may grant a **dispensation** to permit a Member to take part in the business of the Authority even if the member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest relating to that business.

To obtain a dispensation, you must write to the Monitoring Officer at least 48 hours before the meeting in question, explaining why a dispensation is sought and desirable, and specifying the period of time for which it is sought. The Monitoring Officer may consult with the Independent Person or the Council's Audit and Standards Committee in relation to a request for dispensation.

Further advice can be obtained from Gillian Duckworth, Director of Legal and Governance on 0114 2734018 or email gillian.duckworth@sheffield.gov.uk.

This page is intentionally left blank

Cabinet

Meeting held 18 April 2018

PRESENT: Councillors Julie Dore (Chair), Olivia Blake, Jackie Drayton, Jayne Dunn, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea and Jack Scott

.....

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Ben Curran, Bryan Lodge and Cate McDonald.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

2.1 No items were identified where it was proposed to exclude the public and press from the meeting.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 Councillor Jack Scott declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 12 – Confirming Grant Aid Awards For 2018/19 and Delegation of Grant Aid Variations to Individual Cabinet Member (See Minute 11 below) – as he was shortly expecting to be appointed as a Trustee of the St. Wilfred’s Centre, which was one of the organisations recommended to receive a grant award.

4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

4.1 The minutes of the previous meeting of the Cabinet, held on 21st March 2018, were approved as a correct record.

5. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS

5.1 Public Question in respect of Student Accommodation

5.1.1 Nigel Slack asked that with recent announcements of proposals for student flats above the former TJ Hughes store, on the car park behind the city centre substation, and others, how many student flats/beds are now in the development pipeline for the city centre, and how many student flats/beds currently exist in the city centre? Mr. Slack also asked that with a predicted downturn in student numbers, and most Universities having money issues, is this sustainable?

5.1.2 In response, the Leader of the Council (Councillor Julie Dore) indicated that she would supply Mr. Slack with the statistics that he has asked for. She stated that a detailed study relating to the sustainability of student accommodation in the city was to be undertaken involving both of the city’s universities, and the results of

the study would be used to inform future Council policy in this matter. She commented that Council officers were of the opinion that the student accommodation market in the city had not yet reached saturation point, and there still remained scope for existing lower quality student accommodation to be replaced by new higher quality provision, and this was expected to be confirmed by the study. Councillor Dore added that an updated Sheffield Housing Strategy would be submitted to a meeting of the Cabinet in the near future, and housing mix, including accommodation for students, would be included in that strategy.

5.2 Public Question in respect of Demolitions Under the Heart of the City Phase II Proposals

5.2.1 Nigel Slack commented that with the Council's record over heritage buildings & demolitions, he would suggest that the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People & Families may wish to revisit her question at the last Cabinet meeting to the senior officer presenting the Heart of the City Phase II report. The Cabinet Member had asked whether any 'grade 2 or other listed buildings were to be demolished' (as part of the redevelopment). Mr. Slack queried whether the Cabinet Member had noted, as Mr. Slack had, that the officer's response was evasive? The officer's response was that 'no star grade buildings would be demolished'. Mr. Slack therefore wished to seek clarity on this matter, and asked will any listed buildings be liable for demolition under the Heart of the City Phase II proposals? If so, which ones and what is the grade of listing for each?

5.2.2 In response, Councillor Jackie Drayton, Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families, commented that heritage and conservation in the city was highly valued by the Council and, by way of example, she referred to the work being undertaken towards securing a future use for the Old Town Hall building in the Haymarket area. She stated that she did not agree with Mr. Slack's view that the officer had been evasive when answering her question at the previous Cabinet meeting, and she added that, as the officer stated at the Cabinet meeting, there are no proposals to demolish any listed building as part of the Heart of the City Phase II proposals.

5.3 Public Question in respect of Call-in of Cabinet Decisions

5.3.1 Nigel Slack asked how many Cabinet decisions have been called in or reviewed by Scrutiny Committees in the last 12 months? Of those, how many were disposed of under each of the potential courses of action, i.e.:-

- (a) refer the decision back to the decision making body or individual for reconsideration in the light of recommendations from the Committee?;
- (b) request that the decision be deferred until the Scrutiny Committee has considered relevant issues and made recommendations to the Executive?;
- (c) take no action in relation to the called-in decision but consider whether issues arising from the call-in need to be fed back to the decision maker or added to the work programme of an existing Scrutiny Committee?;

Mr. Slack also asked how many referrals for reconsideration resulted in a changed decision by the decision maker?

5.3.2 In response, the Leader of the Council (Councillor Julie Dore) indicated that she would supply Mr. Slack with the statistics that he has asked for.

6. ITEMS CALLED-IN/REFERRED FROM SCRUTINY

6.1 Call-In of Cabinet Member for Finance's Decision on "Disposal of Property at Mount Pleasant, Sharrow Lane"

6.1.1 The Chair of the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee (Councillor Denise Fox) presented a report on the outcome of the Committee's meeting held on 14th March 2018 where a Call-In of the Cabinet Member for Finance's decision on 22nd February 2018 regarding "Disposal of Property at Mount Pleasant, Sharrow Lane" was considered.

6.1.2 Councillor Fox, in referring to the decision taken by the Committee, stated that the meeting with Avenues to Zero, herself and Councillor Olivia Blake had now taken place, and it had been agreed at that meeting that Avenues to Zero and the Council would continue to explore potential ways to achieve some of the ambitions set out in Avenues to Zero's Mount Pleasant bid.

6.1.3 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet notes.

(a) notes the decision of the Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee, to take no action in relation to the called-in decision, but to recommend a meeting takes place of Cabinet Member for Finance and Chair of Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee with Avenues to Zero to explain more fully information underlying the Individual Cabinet Member decision; and

(b) welcomes the undertaking given at the meeting held with Avenues to Zero to continue to explore potential ways to achieve some of the ambitions set out in Avenues to Zero's Mount Pleasant bid.

6.1.4 Reasons for Decision

To allow the decision to be implemented.

6.1.5 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

To not agree with the decision of the Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee in relation to the called-in decision.

6.2 Street Culture Scrutiny Task Group

6.2.1 The Chair of the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee (Councillor Chris Peace) presented a report which outlined the work undertaken by the Committee's Street Culture Scrutiny Task Group and set out the Task Group's recommendations, which had been endorsed by the Committee. The full report setting out the findings and recommendations of the Task Group was appended to the report.

6.2.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) thanks (i) the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee for its work in relation to finding out about Street Culture and provision of services in Sheffield and (ii) the Members of the Task Group, and all the Council officers and representatives of partner organisations and groups who contributed to the work of the Task Group;
- (b) notes the Street Culture Scrutiny Task Group Report, attached as Appendix 1 to the report;
- (c) agrees that an initial Cabinet response to the scrutiny recommendations be provided to the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee in July 2018; and
- (d) agrees to provide a Council led multi-agency written response to the Safer and Stronger Communities Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee recommendations for October 2018.

6.2.3 **Reasons for the Decision**

To maintain momentum, the Scrutiny Committee request an initial response to their recommendations for July 2018, especially those where the Council may or can lead.

Recognising the collaborative approach being taken in regard to Street Culture and provisions in the city, the Scrutiny Committee would like a multi-agency response to its report and recommendations, and feel the Council is best placed to lead on this formal written response to the Scrutiny Committee's Street Culture Scrutiny Task Group Report and the Committee asks for this by October 2018.

6.2.4 **Alternative Options Considered and Rejected**

An alternative option in relation to the recommendations would be to do nothing with the Task Group Report. However, given the time and effort spent by the Task Group and contributions to the work and recommendations from organisations across the city, this is not deemed a viable option.

An alternative option in relation to the recommendations would be to respond to the Committee's report over a much longer timescale. The Scrutiny Committee would like to maintain momentum on this issue but recognise time is required for

a multi-agency response, hence the suggestion of an initial Cabinet response in July 2018 and a Cabinet led multi-agency response to its recommendations with a longer timescale of October 2018.

6.3 Children's Social Care Task Group Report

At the request of the Chair of the Children, Young People and Family Support Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee, consideration of the Children's Social Care Task Group report was deferred to the next meeting of the Cabinet.

7. RETIREMENT OF STAFF

7.1 The Executive Director, Resources submitted a report on Council staff retirements.

7.2 **RESOLVED:** That this Cabinet :-

(a) places on record its appreciation of the valuable services rendered to the City Council by the following staff in the Portfolios below:-

<u>Name</u>	<u>Post</u>	<u>Years' Service</u>
<u>People Services</u>		
Susan Gardner	Senior Teaching Assistant Level 3, Broomhall Nursery School	35
Phillip Green	Contract Officer, Children and Families	44
Pauline Webster	Primary School Assistant, Lydgate Junior School	20
<u>Resources</u>		
Harry Clarke	Committee Secretary, Democratic Services	41

(b) extends to them its best wishes for the future and a long and happy retirement;
and

(c) directs that an appropriate extract of this resolution under the Common Seal of the Council be forwarded to them.

8. DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL ABUSE STRATEGY

In view of the absence at the meeting of the Cabinet Member for Health and

Social Care, consideration of the report of the Executive Director, People Services seeking approval to a new Domestic and Sexual Abuse Strategy for the city, was deferred to the next meeting of the Cabinet.

9. ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL FURNITURE CONTRACT

9.1 The Executive Director, Place submitted a report seeking to obtain permission for Sheffield City Council (SCC) to enter into contract with Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council (MBC) to provide them with furnished goods for their service requirement.

9.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) approves the Council entering into a contract for the supply of furnished goods to RMBC, as outlined and detailed within the report; and
- (b) delegates authority to the Director of Finance and Commercial Services, in consultation with the Director of Housing and Neighbourhoods Service, to take such other necessary steps not covered by existing delegations to achieve the outcomes outlined in the report.

9.3 Reasons for Decision

9.3.1 The reasons for recommending this business are highlighted in the summary of benefits at section 2.1 of the report.

The undertaking and successful delivery of this contract could be a catalyst to further external 3rd party works. This is good for the Council from a reputational perspective and will contribute to minimising the impact of budget constraints, given it creates an income.

9.4 Alternatives Considered and Rejected

9.4.1 There were no alternative options presented in the report.

10. MONTH 11 CAPITAL APPROVALS

10.1 The Executive Director, Resources submitted a report providing details of proposed changes to the Capital Programme as brought forward in Month 11 2017/18.

10.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) approves the proposed additions and variations to the Capital Programme listed in Appendix 1 of the report, including the procurement strategies and delegates authority to the Director of Finance and Commercial Services or nominated Officer, as appropriate, to award the necessary contracts; and

- (b) gives authorisation to provide grants to third parties as detailed in Appendix 2 of the report.

10.3 **Reasons for Decision**

- 10.3.1 The proposed changes to the Capital Programme will improve the services to the people of Sheffield.
- 10.3.2 To formally record changes to the Capital Programme and gain Member approval for changes in line with Financial Regulations and to reset the Capital Programme in line with latest information.
- 10.3.3 Obtain the relevant delegations to allow projects to proceed.

10.4 **Alternatives Considered and Rejected**

- 10.4.1 A number of alternative courses of action are considered as part of the process undertaken by Officers before decisions are recommended to Members. The recommendations made to Members represent what Officers believe to be the best options available to the Council, in line with Council priorities, given the constraints on funding and the use to which funding is put within the Revenue Budget and the Capital Programme.

11. **CONFIRMING GRANT AID AWARDS FOR 2018/19 AND DELEGATION OF GRANT AID VARIATIONS TO INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER**

- 11.1 The Executive Director, People Services, submitted a report seeking Cabinet approval for the grant aid awards for 2018/19; and to delegate, to the Cabinet Member with voluntary sector responsibility, authority to vary grant aid awards for the financial year 2019/20.

11.2 **RESOLVED:** That Cabinet:-

- (a) agrees the grant awards for 2018/19 as set out in the report, which are in line with the budget savings stated in the Revenue Budget report approved by the Cabinet in February 2018 and the multi-year grant agreements; and
- (b) delegates authority to make the decision on any reduction in grant aid awards in year 3 for all multi-year agreements (2019/20) to the Cabinet Member with responsibility for the voluntary sector on the basis that, as previously approved, the awards for year 3 will be within the parameters set out in the September 2016 Cabinet report, between 75% and 100% of the year 1 value.

11.3 **Reasons for Decision**

- 11.3.1 The awards are all within the financial boundaries of the existing multi-year agreements and the budget available to the Council.

11.3.2 The Cabinet Member responsible for the Voluntary Sector can already set the level of grant award if it is the same percentage reduction across the funds and delegating the option to vary any percentage reduction across the funds allows timely decision making that takes into account needs, impact, as well as the funds available for the grant awards.

11.4 **Alternatives Considered and Rejected**

11.4.1 Due to there not being sufficient funds available to award all groups 100% of the year 1 value in year 2, the proposal is to award different levels of grant across the funds based on the strategic needs and impact assessments. The Council wishes to protect, as far as possible, front line delivery of the grant aid recipient organisations that work directly with the most vulnerable and marginalised in the city. Therefore, most groups will have a 10% reduction of the potential 20% maximum reduction. Sheffield Citizens Advice will receive a 2.6% reduction, which reflects the increasing reliance of many households on advice regarding welfare reform and the evidence from other areas that where Universal Credit has already been rolled-out, Citizens Advice becomes even more crucial in managing the transition for many households. Infrastructure support will receive a 20% reduction.



Author/Lead Officer of Report:
Simon Hughes/Principal Committee Secretary

Tel: 27 34014

Report of: *Executive Director, Resources*

Report to: *Cabinet*

Date of Decision: *23rd May 2018*

Subject: *Staff Retirements*

Is this a Key Decision? If Yes, reason Key Decision:-	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
- Expenditure and/or savings over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	
- Affects 2 or more Wards	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Which Cabinet Member Portfolio does this relate to? <i>N/A</i>		
Which Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee does this relate to? <i>N/A</i>		
Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? <i>(Insert reference number)</i>		
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the report and/or appendices and complete below:-		

Purpose of Report:

To report the retirement of the following staff from the Council's Service and to convey the Council's thanks for their work.

Recommendations:

To recommend that Cabinet:-

- (a) place on record its appreciation of the valuable services rendered to the City Council by the above-mentioned members of staff in the Portfolios stated;
- (b) extend to them its best wishes for the future and a long and happy retirement; and
- (c) direct that an appropriate extract of the resolution now made under the Common Seal of the Council be forwarded to those staff above with over 20 years' service.

Background Papers: None

(Insert details of any background papers used in the compilation of the report.)

1. PROPOSAL

1.1 To report the retirement of the following staff from the Council's Service and to convey the Council's thanks for their work:-

<u>People Services</u>		<u>Years' Service</u>
Kay Ackom-Mensah	Teacher, St Wilfrid's Primary School	31
Linda Brewin	Senior Teaching Assistant (Special) Level 3, Talbot Specialist School	20
Vicki Grayson	Deputy Headteacher, Limpsfield Junior School	31
Judith Wade	Teacher, Intake Primary School	33

This page is intentionally left blank



Author/Lead Officer of Report: Paul Dempsey
Assistant Director Provider Services

Tel: 27 36463

Report of: Jayne Ludlam, Executive Director - People
Report to: Cabinet
Date of Decision: 23 May 2018
Subject: Project Apollo – Supporting NEET Care Leavers (Contract Award)

Is this a Key Decision? If Yes, reason Key Decision:-	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
- Expenditure and/or savings over £500,000		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
- Affects 2 or more Wards		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Which Cabinet Member Portfolio does this relate to? People Portfolio				
Which Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee does this relate to? Children, Young People and Family Support Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee				
Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? 280				
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the report and/or appendices and complete below:-				
<i>"The (report/appendix) is not for publication because it contains exempt information under Paragraph (insert relevant paragraph number) of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended)."</i>				

Purpose of Report:

This report is seeking approval to accept and spend up to £1,083,550 of funding from the Children's Social Innovation Programme launched by the Department for Education (DfE) in 2014, which will provide a programme of support targeting Care Leavers NEET.

Recommendations:

Cabinet is recommended to:

- Approve acceptance of the DfE's grant offer of up to £1,083,550, to deliver the service initiative detailed herein.
- Approve the direct award of a contract to a company (a special purpose vehicle or SPV) established by Sheffield Futures with a potential value of £1,083,550 in outcomes payments in order to deliver the project.
- Delegate authority, to the extent not already delegated by the Leader's Scheme of Delegations, to the Director of Finance and Commercial Services in consultation with the Director of Legal and Governance and the Executive Director - People to agree the terms of the contract.
- Delegate authority, to the extent not already delegated by the Leader's Scheme of Delegations, to the Executive Director – People to take such other steps deemed necessary to deliver the outcomes set out in this Report.

Background Papers:

- Full proposal form Sheffield Care Leavers SIB final

Lead Officer to complete:-		
1	I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms completed / EIA completed, where required.	Finance: <i>Andy Bray</i>
		Legal: <i>Nadine Wynter</i>
		Equalities: <i>Bashir Khan</i>
<i>Legal, financial/commercial and equalities implications must be included within the report and the name of the officer consulted must be included above.</i>		
2	EMT member who approved submission:	<i>Jayne Ludlam</i>
3	Cabinet Member consulted:	<i>Cllr Drayton</i>
4	I confirm that all necessary approval has been obtained in respect of the implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist and that the report has been approved for submission to the Decision Maker by the EMT member indicated at 2. In addition, any additional forms have been completed and signed off as required at 1.	
	Lead Officer Name: <i>Paul Dempsey</i>	Job Title: Assistant Director Provider Services
	Date: 15.5.18	

1. PROPOSAL

1.1 Background and Context

- 1.1.1 Sheffield is ambitious for our Young People leaving care and Care Leavers. The programme outlined herein is to be delivered in addition to all of the current services care leavers receive and is intended to complement our existing offer. We are aspiring to deliver outstanding services and significantly improved outcomes for care leavers and we have invested in expanding the care leavers' service to achieve this. The programme detailed here requires no funding from the Council as it will be fully paid for via the SIB grant. This is therefore an additional complimentary service at no extra cost.
- 1.1.2 Under The Care Leavers (England) Regulations 2010 Local Authorities have a duty to support care leavers into education, training or employment. Local Authorities also have a statutory duty to Raise Participation under sections 18 and 68(4) of the Education and Skills Act 2008 (ESA 2008) in relation to sections 10, 12 and 68 of that Act
- 1.1.3 The 2016 local annual return showed that 29.8% of the 17-21 year old cohort was not in education, employment or training (NEET) – putting Sheffield at 108/150 local authorities, 3/8 of the Core Cities; and 8/11 of statistical neighbours. This continues to be a challenge. A National Audit Office report 2015 identified 41% of 19-year-old care leavers were not in education employment or training. In Sheffield 49.5% of care leavers aged 18-21 that are NEET, 21% of whom are actively engaged or available to engage in education, employment or training.
- 1.1.4 The Local Authority has a duty of care to care leavers and supporting them into employment, education and training is a key corporate parenting responsibility of the council and its partner agencies.
- 1.1.5 Children and Families service applied to the Children's Social Innovation Programme launched by the Department for Education (DfE) in 2014 which supports innovative ideas and enables policy and practice to be driven by evidence and best practice. There was the opportunity to make a targeted bid for funding to improve outcomes for Care Leavers.
- 1.1.6 The original bid was on the basis of receiving a grant award, however during the process the DfE has change their approach now favouring a Social Impact Bond Model delivering a non-ring fenced grant. The report seeks approval to accept an award to the value £886k - £1.08 million from the Department for Education (DfE), to fund an initiative aimed at supporting more of our care leavers into education, employment and training.

1.2 Proposal Overview

1.2.1 Sheffield City Council recognises the need to improve the engagement of our care leavers with education, employment and training in the city and took the opportunity, working with partners to apply to *The Children's Social Care Innovation Programme*, launched by the Department for Education (DfE), for funding to test a new way of working to improve outcomes for this cohort of young people. The Innovation Programme aims to: -

- Improve life chances of children receiving help from the social care system.
- Create stronger incentives and mechanisms for innovation, experimentation and replication of successful new approaches.
- Drive better value for money across children's social care.

1.2.2 The proposal aims to address the problem of care leavers not in education, employment or training in Sheffield to support improved engagement outcomes. Acceptance of the funding will allow Sheffield to address this imbalance for care leavers and provide the opportunity for this cohort to engage in a tailored programme of support that will be embedded in the care leavers' service.

1.2.3 The programme will work in partnership with young people empowering them to fulfil their potential and take control of their future working to achieve agreed outcomes. We will offer the cohort opportunities to take part in interventions that will provide them with the skills and resilience to be ready to tackle their journey into education, employment or training.

1.2.4 Young people will be supported to create a plan for them to make sure they are prepared for college or work. Through this project identified care leavers will work with a 'coach' to create a tailored intervention programme for them. The project has three key parts: an assessment of young person's strengths and weaknesses, work experience and training, and work with the Chamber of Commerce to provide in work support and training.

1.2.5 The proposal is to develop an intensive, tailored intervention for care leavers who are, or are at risk of becoming NEET, that will provide individual plans setting out personal and career goals and milestones, ensuring sustainable engagement in ETT.

1.2.6 Sheffield is one of three local authorities that were successful in bidding for the programme and Sheffield has been awarded £1,083,550. The funding will be delivered via a Social Impact Bond (SIB). Social Impact Bonds are payment by results contracts and are based on achieving pre-defined and measurable social outcomes funded by investors who want a

social and financial return. In this case it is proposed that the contract is between the Council and a company (a special purpose vehicle or SPV) established by Sheffield Futures. We have a rate card for the outcomes the programme needs to achieve.

- 1.2.7 Programme delivery will take place over 3 years. The original scheduled programme duration was April 2018 to March 2021. However, delay from the DfE in releasing funding means we are looking at a July 2018 start date with the end date rolling over to end of June 2021. We anticipate obtaining outcomes in quarter 2 and Quarter 3 of year 4, so in total we envisage the programme to be 3 and half years long. A project manager employed by the provider will remain in post until September 2021 to oversee the process of claiming for outstanding outcomes, closure of the special purpose vehicle and to ensure all monitoring requirements are completed.
- 1.2.8 The programme will be working with 100 care leavers aged between 16 and 21 who are NEET, at risk of becoming NEET and those who are termed 'unavailable'.
- 1.2.9 Governance will be through an investor board to monitor performance, progress and outcomes there will also be links to the Care Leavers' Steering Group, the Corporate Parenting Board, and the Improvement Board. The SIB arrangement ensures that the financial risk lies with the Social Investors into the programme.
- 1.2.10 The programme and the funding will run for three years. Thereafter we will look to sustain improvements made in service delivery and improved outcomes through transferring the learning from the programme and implementing the successful elements of the model into our mainstream care leaver service.

1.3 What will be new?

- 1.3.1 The award will be used to recruit 'Transition Coaches' (who will be employed by the SPV), a role that will complement that of Personal Advisors, but be purely focussed on intensive support for young people into EET. Currently Personal Advisors due to the nature and breadth of their role rely on sign-posting and facilitating them into the existing wider EET support offer.
- 1.3.2 Transition Coaches will:
- be embedded in the Care Leaver Service
 - provide specialist input into Pathway Plans in relation to career planning
 - deliver a tailored EET support package which prepares care leavers for the world of work and provide in-work support for care leaver and employers (through third party partners identified as Dame Kelly Holmes Trust & Princes Trust)
 - up-skill Personal Advisors towards a broader understanding of education and employment pathways;

- be trained to screen for Speech Language and Communication Needs and refer to specialist services where required
- In addition; a Care Leaver Advisor will be recruited as a core part of the delivery team, they will be an experienced care leaver within the targeted age bracket, and act as peer mentor, influence the programme development and engage the Sheffield Care Leavers Union to ensure the programme is meeting the needs of the cohort.

1.3.3 The use of speech, language and communication needs screening alongside the expertise of the transition coaches to particularly focus on EET outcomes is an innovative intervention that has not been attempted before. As part of the screening process each young person will go through a speech, language and communication needs assessment, and be referred to a speech & language therapist if required. The transitions coach will be trained to screen and refer to specialist services.

1.3.4 An employer engagement officer (employed by the SPV) will provide support, training and creation of opportunities within the first year in anticipation of the cohort preparing to make the transition. They will work closely with the Chamber of Commerce and other employers to understand workforce needs; and to input into the programme. In-work support and training will be provided to supportive employers

2 How does the decision contribute?

2.1 The acceptance of up to £1,083,550 grant funding will allow delivery of an intensive, tailored intervention programme to support care leavers to engage in education, employment or training to NEET.

2.2 The service will build on learning from employability programmes with vulnerable young people. Participants will be allocated a Transitions Coach who will be co-located and embedded into the local care leaver service - complementing existing support - with care leavers having a strong role in programme development, delivery, and governance. The three delivery elements will be:

- **Education & Employment Readiness**
- **Employability**
- **Sustaining Employment**

2.3 The focus of the project delivery has clear links to the corporate priorities Strong Economy and Tackling Inequalities.

2.4 Strong Economy

2.4.1 The programme is focussed on improving the employability of care leavers and ensuring that they are equipped for work. Improving their educational outcomes and employment skills will ensure this cohort of young people are better placed to gain employment and contribute to Sheffield's economy.

- 2.4.2 The estimations of the economic costs to individuals that are NEET - largely due to decreased earnings over the life-course - are in the region of £50,000 when compared to other non-university graduates who were not NEET, and a lifetime difference of approximately £225,000 when compared to a university graduate (Godfrey et al, 2002; Coles et al, 2010; Impetus PEF, 2014).
- 2.4.3 Estimating the financial cost to society starts with three main elements: benefits; tax loss (both loss of direct and indirect taxes); and national insurance. The loss to the economy, welfare loss to the individual and the family, as well as the impact in terms of the resources or opportunity cost to the rest of society. Some of these costs are incurred because of unemployment and the impact this has on the individual and the family, as well as productivity loss to the economy. According to the National Audit Office, the lifetime cost of the current cohort of 19-year-old care leavers being NEET would be around £240 million or £150 million more than if they had the same NEET rate as other 19-year-olds.

2.5 Tackling Inequalities

- 2.5.1 As detailed above care leavers are less likely to be in employment, the focus of this program seeks to address this imbalance and provide equality of opportunity in gaining skills, qualifications and employment.
- 2.5.2 Lack of engagement in employment leads to further isolation for this cohort of young people. A 2013 survey cited 39% of young people had experienced stress and anxiety as a result of unemployment, with 33% suffering from depression. While 54% of young people felt their 'potential is being thrown away or wasted' and 36% who claimed they have 'no chance of ever getting a job'. Tackling this problem should lead to young people less isolated and more engaged in society.

3. HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONSULTATION?

- 3.1 Sheffield Care Leavers Union was consulted during the drafting of the bid.
- 3.2 Their feedback included being unclear on the opportunities available to them accessing Education, Employment and Training. The group liked the idea of a specialist 'coach' and chose the name 'Transition Coach' as they felt this was a clearly defined role to support their transition in to EET and separated the worker from their existing PA.
- 3.3 Although there are adult training and employment opportunities available through providers such as Job Centre Plus, care leavers don't currently have impartial experts to guide and support them to their most suitable options, and opportunities are restrictive due to inflexibility on times and locations, which doesn't always support the needs of this cohort. The group feel that some opportunities aren't accessible as they don't have the financial stability to manage irregular payments or low payments due

to the effect on their benefits.

4. RISK ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

4.1 Equality of Opportunity Implications

4.1.1 Decisions need to take into account the requirements of the Public Sector Equality Duty contained in Section 149 (1) of the Equality Act 2010. As part of documenting the meeting of the requirements of the duty, we have carried out an Equality Impact Assessment. Section 149 (1) identifies the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act
- advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it
- foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it

4.1.2 The Equality Act 2010 Section 149 (7) identifies the following groups as a protected characteristic:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- marriage and civil partnership
- pregnancy and maternity
- race
- religion or belief
- sex
- sexual orientation

4.1.3 An EIA has been completed and highlights positive impacts on Poverty and Financial Inclusion as the project aims to deliver improved outcomes for care leavers by equipping them with the skills to be more employable; This also gives a positive impact on health and highlights that care leavers who are engaged in education and employment are less likely to suffer with mental health problems. The programme of delivery is focussed on young people aged 16 -21 as the primary care leaver cohort and it will have a positive impact on age.

4.2 Financial and Commercial Implications

4.2.1 Key features of the DfE non ring-fenced grant offer of up to £1,083,550 for Sheffield Social Impact Bond for Care Leavers are summarised as follows:

- The grant offer covers and will be paid over the period 2018/19 to 2021/22
- The grant is for improving sustainable education and employment outcomes for care leavers who are NEET (not engaged in education, training or employment) and work with 100 care leavers aged between 16 and 21, who are NEET - The DfE will issue a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to SCC to formalise the working relationship and expectations for the grant based on SCC's proposal submissions. To date the MOU has not been received and approval to accept this grant funding will depend on the DfE's MOU being satisfactory to SCC.
- The MOU is not intended to be legally binding and no legal obligations or legal rights will arise between the Parties.
- It is the intention of Sheffield City Council (SCC) to pay the grant funding to the SPV established by Sheffield Futures, which will be responsible for delivering the project. An agreement between SCC and the company established by Sheffield Futures will be needed that reflects the DfE requirements in the grant MOU.

4.2.2 All public sector procurement is governed by both European Legislation and UK National Law. In addition, all procurement in Sheffield City Council must comply with its own Procurement Policy, and internal regulations known as 'Contracts Standing Orders' (CSOs).

4.2.3 Contracts Standing Orders requirements will apply in full to the procurement of services, goods or works utilising grants. All grant monies must be treated in the same way as any other Council monies and any requirement to purchase/acquire services, goods or works must go via a competitive process.

4.2.4 The contract for delivery of the outcomes set out in this Report will be directly awarded to the SPV established by Sheffield Futures to undertake the contract. A waiver of Contract Standing Orders will be required to facilitate this direct award.

4.3 **Legal Implications**

4.3.1 The Council has a duty under the Education and Skills Act 2008 to make available to young persons and relevant young adults for whom it is responsible such services as it considers appropriate to encourage, enable or assist the effective participation of those persons in education or training.

4.3.2 Under The Care Leavers (England) Regulations 2010 Local Authorities have a duty to support care leavers into education, training or employment. Local Authorities also have a statutory duty to Raise Participation under sections 18 and 68(4) of the Education and Skills Act 2008 (ESA 2008) in relation to sections 10, 12 and 68 of that Act.

4.3.3 The Department for Education statutory guidance 'Participation of young people in education, employment or training ' makes it clear that the

government's approach is to give local authorities freedom and flexibility to decide how to fulfil their statutory duties with regards to the provision of these services. Local authorities are expected to meet any costs incurred in the delivery of these duties from their overall budgets, including central government grants. Acceptance of the Social Impact Bond grant will enable the Council to fulfil its statutory duties.

- 4.3.4 The Public Contracts Regulations 2015 allow for the direct award of a contract where there are certain mitigating circumstances which mean contract award via open competition is not achievable – Regulation 32 allows for use of the negotiated procedure without notice. Specifically, Regulation 32 2.b.iii states that where a service 'can be supplied only by a particular economic operator for the protection of exclusive rights, including intellectual property rights' then a contracting authority may directly award a contract. A Voluntary Ex Ante Transparency (VEAT) Notice will also be published in the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU) giving notice of the intention to award a contract.

4.4 **Other Implications**

- 4.4.1 There are no Human Resources implications for the Council. Additional staff resource deployed on the programme will be employed by the company established by Sheffield Futures.

5. **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

- 5.1 There was an option not to seek funding to engage in the programme. The decision to opt into the programme is based on an assessment that the additional resources provided and the innovative practice involved will deliver improved outcomes over and above what would have been achieved if not engaged in the programme.
- 5.2 The initial innovation fund call for proposals instigated by DfE was open for any interested party to apply and submit an expression of interest to DfE. Sheffield Futures did express an interest and developed proposed solutions to the identified needs of the care leavers cohort and in securing investors into the project. In doing so they have developed a service offer unique to them. In the circumstances an alternative procurement route was not considered appropriate or practical.

6. **REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 6.1 The Council has been successful in its bid for Innovation Funding and without this opportunity would not have the budget to deliver the proposed program.
- 6.2 Acceptance and expenditure of the funding for the purposes set out in this Report will achieve the following outcomes:

6.2.1 Non- Financial Outcomes

Achieving improved educational outcomes and stability in employment will enable care leavers to participate in and make a contribution to society, improve their financial outlook and enhance their health and wellbeing prospects. In order to measure success we are baselining the cohort and will monitor impact throughout the life cycle and on completion as follows:-

- Increasing suitable & sustainable accommodation (Measure: tenancy breakdowns, numbers in adequate/acceptable accommodation, numbers presenting as homeless);
- Improving access to training, education & employment (Measures: EET - qualifications achieved, progression to further and higher education, entry and sustainment into employment);
- Increased financial stability (Measure: not in debt; reduction in emergency payments);
- Improved mental health & well-being (Measure: access to specialist support e.g. substance misuse; reduction in risk-taking behaviour e.g. CSE, criminality, sexual health; use of SDQ/Youth Star – improved results);
- Improved resilience & confidence (Measure: Youth Star – improved results);
- Raised care leaver aspirations (Measure: self-reporting).

6.2.2 Financial Outcomes

6.2.2.1 According to the National Audit Office, the lifetime cost of the current cohort of 19-year-old care leavers being NEET would be around £240 million or £150 million more than if they had the same NEET rate as other 19-year-olds.

6.2.2.2 In order to measure financial benefits we will review our current and previous cohorts of care leavers to compare their outcomes. Utilising the New Economy Unit Cost Database we will calculate savings using the following indicators: -

- Job Seeker's Allowance
- Not in Employment Education or Training (NEET)
- NVQ Level 2 Qualification
- City & Guilds Level 2 Qualification
- BTEC Level 2 Qualification
- Apprenticeship Level 2 Qualification
- NVQ Level 3 Qualification
- City & Guilds Level 3 Qualification
- BTEC Level 3 Qualification

- Apprenticeship Level 3 Qualification
- Graduate Level 4+ Qualification

This page is intentionally left blank



Author/Lead Officer of Report:
Damian Watkinson,
Finance Manager

Tel: 0114 273 6831

Report of: *Eugene Walker*

Report to: *Cabinet*

Date of Decision: *23rd May 2018*

Subject: *Capital Approvals for Month 12 2017/18*

Is this a Key Decision? If Yes, reason Key Decision:-	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
- Expenditure and/or savings over £500,000		<input type="checkbox"/>		
- Affects 2 or more Wards		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Which Cabinet Member Portfolio does this relate to? ***Finance and Resources***

Which Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee does this relate to?

Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee

Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken? Yes No

If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? *(Insert reference number)*

Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? Yes No

If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the report and/or appendices and complete below:-

"The (report/appendix) is not for publication because it contains exempt information under Paragraph (insert relevant paragraph number) of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended)."

Purpose of Report:

This report provides details of proposed changes to the Capital Programme as brought forward in Month 12 2017/18 and budget adjustments required as part of the year end close down process.

Recommendations:

Cabinet is recommended to:

- Approve the proposed additions and variations to the Capital Programme listed in Appendix 1, including the procurement strategies and delegate authority to the Director of Finance and Commercial Services or nominated Officer, as appropriate, to award the necessary contracts
- Give authorisation to provide grants to third parties as detailed in Appendix 2
- Give authorisation to accept the grants as detailed in Appendix 2a
- Approve the budget adjustments required as part of the financial year end close down procedure as detailed in Appendix 3
 - Slippage of expenditure from 17-18 to 18/19 £27.2m
 - Accelerated expenditure from 18/19 to 17/18 of £5.6m
 - Overspends – net additions to the programme £1.4m
 - Underspends - £3.7m

Background Papers:

Appendix 1, Appendix 2, Appendix 2a, Appendix 3

Lead Officer to complete:-	
1	I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms completed / EIA completed, where required.
	Finance: <i>Marianne Betts</i>
	Legal: <i>Sarah Bennett</i>
	Equalities: No
<i>Legal, financial/commercial and equalities implications must be included within the report and the name of the officer consulted must be included above.</i>	

2	EMT member who approved submission:	<i>Eugene Walker</i>
3	Cabinet Member consulted:	<i>Councillor Olivia Blake Cabinet member for Finance and Resources</i>
4	I confirm that all necessary approval has been obtained in respect of the implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist and that the report has been approved for submission to the Decision Maker by the EMT member indicated at 2. In addition, any additional forms have been completed and signed off as required at 1.	
	Lead Officer Name: <i>Damian Watkinson</i>	Job Title: <i>Finance Manager Business partner Capital</i>
	Date: 01 May 2018	

MONTH 12 2017/18 CAPITAL APPROVALS

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 A number of schemes have been submitted for approval in line with the Council's capital approval process during the Month 12 reporting cycle. This report requests the relevant approvals and delegations to allow these schemes to progress.
- 1.2 Below is a summary of the number and total value of schemes in each approval category:
- 5 additions of specific projects to the capital programme creating a net increase of £5.513m
 - 3 variations of specific projects and recognition of allocations in the capital programme creating a net increase of £2.784m
 - 1 Variation to procurement strategy only.
- 1.3 Further details of the schemes listed above can be found in Appendix 1.
- 1.4 In addition the budget adjustments required as part of the year end close down process are also presented for approval:
- Slippage of expenditure from 17-18 to 18/19 £27.2m
 - Accelerated expenditure from 18/19 to 17/18 of £5.6m
 - Overspends – net additions to the programme £1.4m
 - Underspends - £3.7m
- 1.5 It should be noted that these amounts represent know variations to existing projects and allocations. The approvals are required to reflect these in the capital budget. The overall variance of outturn below budget of £23.2m is significantly reduced from the £42.9m forecast at Quarter 3.

- 1.7 Further analysis of Slippage indicates that 40% (£10.7m) of £27m is not related to delay in projects in delivery but slippage in allocations for programmes not yet commenced.
- 1.8 Full details of these adjustments can be found at Appendix 3
- 1.9 It should be noted that overall slippage on the capital programme (including in year adjustments) has been on a downward trend in percentage terms over the past 6 years as detailed in the table below.

Financial year	Delivered	In Year Slippage	Year End Slippage	Total Slippage	Slippage as %age of budget
2012-2013	115.6	44.4	43.3	87.7	43%
2013-2014	116.5	44.6	9.6	54.2	32%
2014-2015	145.3	54.8	18.2	73.0	33%
2015-2016	227.4	37.5	36.9	74.4	25%
2016-2017	215.4	41.5	25.8	67.3	24%
2017-2018	246.5	51.4	27.3	78.7	24%

2. WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR SHEFFIELD PEOPLE

- 2.1 The proposed changes to the Capital programme will improve the recreational leisure facilities, schools, roads and homes used by the people of Sheffield, and improve the infrastructure of the city council to deliver those services.

3. BACKGROUND

This report is part of the monthly reporting procedure to Members on proposed changes to the Council's capital programme.

4. OUTCOME AND SUSTAINABILITY

- 4.1 By delivering these schemes the Council seeks to improve the quality of life for the people of Sheffield.

5. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Finance Implications

The primary purpose of this report is to provide Members with information on the proposed changes to the City Council's Capital Programme further details on each scheme are included in Appendix 1 in relation to schemes to be delivered and Appendix 2 in relation to grants to be issued to third parties, Appendix 2a in relation to grants to be accepted and Appendix 3 in relation to budget adjustments required as part of the year end close down procedure.

5.2 Procurement and Contract Award Implications

This report will commit the Council to a series of future contracts. The procurement strategy for each project is set out in Appendix 1. The award of

the subsequent contracts will be delegated to the Director of Financial and Commercial Services.

5.3 Legal Implications

Any specific legal implications in this report are set out in Appendix 1, Appendix 2 in relation to grants to be issued, Appendix 2a in relation to grants to be accepted.

5.4 Human Resource Implications

There are no direct Human Resource implications for the Council.

5.5 Property Implications

Any specific property implications from the proposals in this report are set out at Appendix 1.

6. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 6.1 A number of alternative courses of action are considered as part of the process undertaken by Officers before decisions are recommended to Members. The recommendations made to Members represent what Officers believe to be the best options available to the Council, in line with Council priorities, given the constraints on funding and the use to which funding is put within the Revenue Budget and the Capital Programme.

7. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1 The proposed changes to the Capital programme will improve the services to the people of Sheffield
- 7.2 To formally record changes to the Capital Programme and gain Member approval for changes in line with Financial Regulations and to reset the capital programme in line with latest information.
- 7.3 Obtain the relevant delegations to allow projects to proceed.

This page is intentionally left blank

	Priority / Scheme Name / Variation Type	Value £	Procurement
A	Economic growth		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations		
	None		
B	Transport		
	New additions		
	<p>93075 Pedestrian Enhancements 2018/20 This project is an element of the Councils overall objective of increasing active travel and will focus on minor adaptations to improve accessibility and road safety of pedestrians; example works include pedestrian lights at existing traffic signals, road junction narrowings and pedestrian islands.</p> <p>An Initial Business Case has been presented identifying 11 potential areas for improved road safety measures for pedestrians and £110k is required to conduct feasibility and design works in these identified areas. The cost of this will be funded from Local Transport Plan (LTP)</p>	110k	n/a feasibility in house only
	<p>93121 Clean Bus Technology The Government's Joint Air Quality Unit has awarded the Council £1,947k through the Clean Bus Technology Fund, to improve the emissions of Sheffield buses in order to improve Sheffield air quality.</p> <p>The funding will be used to retrofit 117 buses in 2018/19 with Selective Catalytic Reduction Technology (SCRT) which will reduce emissions of these</p>	1,947k	n/a Grant issue

<p>buses. The new equipment will be applied to buses selected for having frequent services on routes with high pollution.</p> <p>The procurement and re-fit will be undertaken by First South Yorkshire and Stagecoach Yorkshire. Signed agreements will be in place with all parties defining the terms and reporting requirements of the grant and the Council will retrospectively 'passport' the grant to the two bus companies on production of proof of completed works.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>First South Yorkshire</td> <td>£1,510k</td> <td>93 buses</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stagecoach Yorkshire</td> <td><u>£ 437k</u></td> <td><u>24 buses</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£ 1,947</td> <td>117 buses</td> </tr> </table> <p>See below for details of grants to be issued.</p>	First South Yorkshire	£1,510k	93 buses	Stagecoach Yorkshire	<u>£ 437k</u>	<u>24 buses</u>		£ 1,947	117 buses		
First South Yorkshire	£1,510k	93 buses									
Stagecoach Yorkshire	<u>£ 437k</u>	<u>24 buses</u>									
	£ 1,947	117 buses									
<p>93376 Broadfield Road Junction</p> <p>The Council has been awarded and accepted a grant from the Department for Transport National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) for £3,356k.</p> <p>The scheme proposes a junction improvement and short length of on-line road widening at London Road / Broadfield Road section of the A61 corridor which aims to align with the on-going "Better Bus Area" improvements in the area.</p> <p>Under the terms of the grant; there is a requirement for the Council to provide match funding with works to a minimum the value of £1,459k. It is proposed this will come from AMEY Streets Ahead contract for the removal of the Heeley Tidal Flow system on Queens Road, London Road and terminating with a single mast arm gantry at the junction of Chesterfield Road and Artisan View.</p> <p>As per the current Outline Business Case, the total cost of the scheme will be £4,842:-</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>NPIF (junction improvement works)</td> <td>£3,356</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AMEY Match funding (removal of Tidal Flow)</td> <td><u>£1,486</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>£4,842</td> </tr> </table>	NPIF (junction improvement works)	£3,356	AMEY Match funding (removal of Tidal Flow)	<u>£1,486</u>		£4,842	<p>3,356k</p>	<p>Works to be undertaken by Amey under schedule 7 of the Streets Ahead contract.</p>			
NPIF (junction improvement works)	£3,356										
AMEY Match funding (removal of Tidal Flow)	<u>£1,486</u>										
	£4,842										

	<p>The completion of this scheme requires the acquisition of a portion of land at the site It has been assumed that the land required to construct the scheme will be in the City Council’s ownership before construction can commence. Every attempt will be made to acquire the required land by agreement but because of the above assumption, a formal Compulsory Purchase Order(CPO) process will be commenced and will run in parallel with the formal acquisition by agreement. It is possible that if the CPO route has to be followed this will push completion of the scheme beyond the approved completion date which forms part of the funding agreement with the Department For Transport (DfT). Discussions are underway with the DfT to mitigate the impact on funding of the delay if this were to occur.</p>		
	Variations		
	None		
C	Quality of life		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations		
	None		
D	Green and open spaces		
	New additions		
	None		

	Variations		
	None		
E	Housing growth		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations		
	None		
F	Housing investment		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations		
	<p>97131 Asbestos Surveys Testing and Reporting 2018-2022 – Council Housing (Procurement strategy change and slippage)</p> <p>Approval is required to retender the contract for carrying out a Management and/or Repairs and Demolition Asbestos Survey for:</p> <p>Voids, Responsive, Planned and Programme Maintenance Projects, Community Rooms, All Communal Areas, Boiler Houses and Demolition sites within the Council Housing Estate.</p> <p>By continuing the surveying and testing process the Council can progress the elimination of exposure and deal with associated repairs promptly for customers.</p> <p>Cabinet should note that the existing contract has been extended following issue of a waiver of standing orders to ensure continuity of service during the</p>	<p>17/18 -5</p> <p>18/19 +50</p> <p>19/20 -55</p> <p>20/21 -11</p> <p>21/22 -11</p> <p>(22/23 +32)</p>	<p>i) Northern Housing Consortium Framework</p> <p>ii) Contract extension to Asbestos Surveying, Testing & Reporting contract</p>

	<p>procurement period</p> <p>.</p> <p><u>Costs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The value of the new contract is estimated at £557K - The extension of the current contract to cover the new contract procurement period is estimated at approx. £100k <p>Required re-profiling of the budget is summarised below. 18/19: 184K current + 5K 17/18 slippage + 45K = £234K (c.£100K for the old contract) 19/20: 200K current – 55K = £145K 20/21: 150K current – 11K = £139K 21/22: 150K current – 11K = £139K (22/23: 150K current + 32K = £182K)</p> <p>Total £100K old contract + £557K new contract = £657K 22/23 to hold any programme budget not allocated to the continuation of the old contract or the new contract.</p> <p>Funded by HRA.</p>		
G	People – capital and growth		
	New additions		
	<p>90771 Adaptations:</p> <p>Funding to support school building adaptations where required to enable pupils with a Special Education Need and/or Disability to access as much of the mainstream school provision as possible. Works to undertake alterations to premises are identified via the SEND service in conjunction with relevant Occupational Therapists to meet the needs of pupils. Where school funding is insufficient to pay for these alterations, this allocation provides support.</p>	+50k	<p>Routes will vary according to nature of the adaptive and/or emergency works required. This may include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) SCC Corporate Repairs and Maintenance Framework ii) Request for competitive

<p>90691 Primary Maintenance Emergency Works:</p> <p>Due to the deteriorating condition of the estate, sudden failure of a significant building element e.g. roof collapse, is possible. Whilst schools will have an element of Devolved Capital Formula (DFC) funding available to them, it is often insufficient to fund major works therefore this allocation provides support.</p> <p>The two projects are covered by a combined Business Case and are to be procured together totalling £100k, being split equally between the Adaptations and Primary Maintenance Emergency Works projects. Funding comes from a School Condition Allocation received from the Education and Skills Funding Agency each year (see adjustment below).</p>	+50k	quotes iii) Award via existing contract
Variations		
<p>Q0060 DfE School Condition Block Allocation (Record New Allocation / distribute £100k of allocation)</p> <p>The council receives a School Condition Allocation from the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) each year to fund major repairs to the school estate in Sheffield. The amount of the allocation is based on the pupil numbers and is reduced year on year to reflect the transfer of school to Academy Trusts.</p> <p>For the financial year 2018/19 the School Condition Allocation for Sheffield is £2,702,173.</p> <p>This variation is to accept the £2.7m Grant as described in Grants for Acceptance - Section G noted below.</p> <p>For the financial year 2018/19 it is recommended that the allocation is targeted at;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Fire Risk Assessment Project Works• Planned Mechanical (Heating) Works• Major Structural Repairs• Emergency Works – for which £50k is to be allocated now as above• Adaptations – for which £50k is to be allocated now as above	+2,702k Grant Received -100k Allocation	N/a N/a

	<p>93490 Woodseats Primary School Adaptation (Phase 2) (Procurement strategy change – inclusion of delivery budget)</p> <p>Phase 2 includes the installation of a platform lift to serve the basement, ground and first floor levels to improve accessibility in the school.</p> <p>Following completion of significant design change to deliver the access improvements, conditional approval for the full scheme is required pending receipt and acceptance of the Special Provision Capital funding.</p> <p>To ensure the Council achieves best value for money and the scheme is delivered to programme the expansion of available procurement routes is necessary as the previously preferred procurement route (Scape Minor Works framework) presents risk in terms of both cost and programme timetable.</p> <p>Upon appointment, the contractor will be responsible for developing the new design in conjunction with the client through a two stage design and build process.</p> <p>The cost implications of this are yet to be fully quantified</p>	<p>+182k</p>	<p>i) YORbuild2, Lot 1 framework by rotation</p>
	<p>90802 Astrea Academy (Woodside) Caretaker’s house (Procurement Strategy change)</p> <p>Essential works to the caretaker’s house within the grounds of Northeast New School in Woodside were previously to be included as a variation to the existing main school build contract. However as the cost estimate received from the contract was not within budget, works cannot progress with the contractor on site.</p> <p>As the works are required to be completed within the same timescale as the Woodseats Primary School Adaptation works (described above), it is proposed that the works are combined with those at Woodseats under a single contract as this will offer opportunity for preliminary and efficiency savings to be realised alongside a more economically advantageous tender.</p>	<p>- (covered by existing contingency)</p>	<p>i) YORbuild2, Lot 1 framework by rotation</p>

H	Essential compliance and maintenance		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations		
	None		

	Scheme name / summary description of key terms	Recipient	Value
A	Economic growth		
	None		
B	Transport		
	<p>Clean Bus Technology Fund</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The aim of the funding is to address air quality concerns across the city by reducing NO₂ emissions, particularly at locations close to busy traffic roads / routes. The Recipients shall retrofit a number of public buses in 2018/19 with Selective Catalytic Reduction Technology (SCRT). The Recipients are responsible for sourcing and arranging the retrofit of their vehicles with accredited technology and have identified such technology and with routes / services will provide the maximum impact / reduction of harmful emissions. - The Recipients are responsible for on-going maintenance and repair of the technology. - The Recipients will be responsible for monitoring and reporting. SCC will agree the exact monitoring requirements required from the Recipients and these will be included within schedules to the agreement. The monitoring and reporting requirements imposed upon the Recipients will ensure that there is in turn compliance by SCC of requirements imposed by central government. - Although not required by central government – the Council have requested that monitoring of the ‘impact’ of the retrofits is carried out for a period of 5 years. - Such grant funds will only be paid following evidence of actual 	First South Yorkshire	1,510k

	<p>spend by the Recipient of the retrofit technology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SCC are able in certain circumstances to require the grant to be repaid, such claw back may be enforced where the Recipient fails to comply with the Agreement. 		
	<p>Clean Bus Technology Fund</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The aim of the funding is to address air quality concerns across the city by reducing NO₂ emissions, particularly at locations close to busy traffic roads / routes. The Recipients shall retrofit a number of public buses in 2018/19 with Selective Catalytic Reduction Technology (SCRT). The Recipients are responsible for sourcing and arranging the retrofit of their vehicles with accredited technology and have identified such technology and with routes / services will provide the maximum impact / reduction of harmful emissions. - The Recipients are responsible for on-going maintenance and repair of the technology. - The Recipients will be responsible for monitoring and reporting. SCC will agree the exact monitoring requirements required from the Recipients and these will be included within schedules to the agreement. The monitoring and reporting requirements imposed upon the Recipients will ensure that there is in turn compliance by SCC of requirements imposed by central government. - Although not required by central government – the Council have requested that monitoring of the ‘impact’ of the retrofits is carried out for a period of 5 years. - Such grant funds will only be paid following evidence of actual spend by the Recipient of the retrofit technology. - SCC are able in certain circumstances to require the grant to be repaid, such claw back may be enforced where the Recipient fails to 	<p>Stagecoach Yorkshire</p>	<p>437k</p>

	comply with the Agreement.		
C	Quality of life		
	None		
D	Green and open spaces		
	None		
E	Housing growth		
	None		
F	Housing investment		
	None		
G	People – capital and growth		
	None		
H	Essential compliance and maintenance		
	None		

This page is intentionally left blank

	Scheme name / summary description of key terms	Funder	Value
A	Economic growth		
	None		
B	Transport		
	None		
C	Quality of life		
	None		
D	Green and open spaces		
	None		
E	Housing growth		
	None		
F	Housing investment		
	None		
G	People – capital and growth		
	School Condition Allocation is the money allocated each year to improve and maintain the condition of the school estate (buildings and	Department for Education: ESFA	2,702k

	<p>grounds).</p> <p>The allocation is paid under Section 31 of the LGA 2003.</p> <p>As part of the annual capital grant monitoring exercise the S151 Officer will need to confirm the funding has been used for capital purposes.</p>	(Education & Skills Funding Agency)	
	<p>Healthy Pupils Capital Fund - £100m of revenue generated from the Soft Drinks Industry Levy has been used for the Healthy Pupils Capital Fund, which is intended to improve access to facilities such as kitchens, dining facilities, changing rooms, playgrounds and sports facilities.</p> <p>The Fund will operate for one financial year only, 2018–19.</p> <p>This direct allocation is in addition to the normal School Condition Allocations and will be paid alongside it. Guidance for responsible bodies receiving a direct allocation of the Healthy Pupils Capital Fund is available.</p> <p>The allocation is paid under Section 31 of the LGA 2003, it is not ring-fenced or time-bound, but is for capital expenditure only.</p> <p>An outturn certificate will be required following the financial year-end to confirm that funds have been used for the purposes provided and spent in accordance with the terms and conditions of the grant.</p>	Department for Education: ESFA (Education & Skills Funding Agency)	259k
H	Essential compliance and maintenance		
	None		

Appendix 3

Slippage By Service

Portfolio	Approved Expenditure Budget	Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Percentage Year End Slippage
BSR	13,285,000	13,285,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
CITY GROWTH	62,926,100	59,157,091	3,769,010	5,398,737	(1,470,930)	(205,339)	46,540	-	6%
COMMUNITIES	791,609	905,671	(114,062)	-	(113,634)	(429)	-	-	-14%
CORPORATE	39,831,451	39,502,636	328,815	328,815	-	-	-	-	1%
CULTURE	20,990,654	20,010,883	979,770	151,308	(2,036)	(148,038)	977,536	1,000	1%
CYP	35,326,227	31,727,984	3,598,243	6,186,092	(2,321,493)	(504,137)	237,781	-	11%
HIGHWAYS	14,278,870	12,421,213	1,857,657	1,345,332	(7,268)	(359,497)	879,089	-	9%
HOUSING	71,306,561	63,001,365	8,305,196	9,073,300	(1,533,970)	(178,387)	1,455,153	(510,900)	11%
PARKS	2,185,627	841,932	1,343,694	1,290,112	(427)	(1,497)	55,508	(0)	59%
RESOURCES	447,114	66,026	381,088	385,291	-	(4,203)	-	-	86%
T&FM	8,411,177	5,589,875	2,821,302	3,052,758	(166,820)	(23,669)	14,510	(55,477)	34%
GRAND TOTAL	269,780,390	246,509,679	23,270,712	27,211,744	(5,616,577)	(1,425,195)	3,666,117	(565,377)	8%

BUSINESS STRATEGY & REGULATION

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Accelerated				Internal Adjusment	Comments
					Slippage	Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend		
94085	WASTE MGMT DEVELOPMENT	13,285,000	13,285,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Total		13,285,000	13,285,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	

CITY GROWTH

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
90012	CASTLE MARKET DECOMMISSIONING	20,191	23,799	(3,608)	-	-	(3,608)	-	-	Slight overspend on legacy costs. Funded from capital receipts.
90032	RESOURCE COSTS	13,044	10,939	2,105	-	-	-	2,105	-	Project complete and slippage not required. Saving to Growth Investment Fund
90033	ASSET ENHANCEMENT GB SITES	110,512	28,218	82,294	82,294	-	-	-	-	Highways brief revised and Arup have recently re-priced therefore delay to scheme
90200	WOODHOUSE HUB	266,667	1,118	265,549	265,549	-	-	-	-	Delay in the scheme is due to SCC and the developer being unable to agree a brief for the scheme, this is now expected to be resolved by July 18.
90204	CIP - GRANGE CRESCENT	346,461	301,209	45,252	12,000	-	-	33,252	-	Project is complete but £12k Slippage required: Contract retention not accrued, remedial works plus outstanding invoice. The remainder is a saving to Growth Investment Fund .
90205	LAND ACQUISITION	231,438	1,552	229,886	229,886	-	-	-	-	Acquisition complicated by historic rights which requires resolution
90804	WOODSEATS HUB	91,323	91,323	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
92448	FORMER NORTON AERODROME	59,294	-	59,294	59,294	-	-	-	-	Commissions delayed due to delays on planning issues.
92459	WOODSIDE OPEN SPACE	3,317	-	3,317	-	-	-	3,317	-	Project no longer viable so fees remaining for planning submission no longer required. Saving to Growth Investment Fund.
92460	CIL	-	3,513	(3,513)	-	-	(3,513)	-	-	Represents passporting of CIL to Parish Councils. Fully funded
92475	S106 AGREEMENT	-	50,000	(50,000)	-	-	(50,000)	-	-	Represents passporting of S106 funding to SYPTE. Fully funded.
94009	PORTER BROOK REMEDIAL WORKS	-	4,204	(4,204)	-	-	(4,204)	-	-	Scheme legacy costs. Fully funded by grant funding remaining.
94010	LDV FLOOD DEFENCE WORKS	6,070,017	5,759,593	310,424	310,424	-	-	-	-	Contractor liquidated, delaying progress of the works
94013	GREY TO GREEN	4,204	1,204	3,000	3,000	-	-	-	-	Slight profile change to final retention payments
94017	CULVERT ENHANCEMENT PROG	88,190	90,639	(2,449)	-	-	(2,449)	-	-	Minor cost increase met by revenue contribution to capital.
94020	BROOKHILL AREA IMPROVEMENTS	440,641	577,567	(136,927)	-	-	(136,927)	-	-	Increased costs to be met by University Of Sheffield
94022	KNOWLEDGE GATEWAY	494,841	494,841	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
94023	CLAYWHEELS LANE ABBEY	161,830	11,830	150,000	150,000	-	-	-	-	Delay in finalisation of agreements with private partner
94024	DIGITAL INCUBATOR	15,000	14,930	70	70	-	-	-	-	0
94025	CLAYWHEELS LANE AMG	123,932	22,370	101,562	101,562	-	-	-	-	Delay in finalisation of agreements with private partner
94027	OWLTHORPE TW DEV AGMT	583,050	575,184	7,866	-	-	-	7,866	-	Project complete and slippage not required saving to Growth Investment Fund
94028	CASTLEGATE FEASIBILITY	100,001	77,580	22,420	22,420	-	-	-	-	Delay in recharge of fees.

CITY GROWTH

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
94050	SHEFFIELD RETAIL QUARTER 2	7,046,103	3,617,895	3,428,208	3,428,208	-	-	-	-	Budget requires review to reflect profile of acquisitions
94051	ASBESTOS REMOVAL - GROSVENOR	43,043	43,043	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
94052	SRQ DEMOLITIONS	677,034	632,885	44,149	44,149	-	-	-	-	Overall slippage on SRQ budgets to be subject to review as part of new delivery plan
94053	SRQ HIGHWAY ENABLING WORKS	4,001,367	3,651,726	349,641	349,641	-	-	-	-	Overall slippage on SRQ budgets to be subject to review as part of new delivery plan
94054	SRQ OFFICES	39,008,293	40,479,222	(1,470,930)	-	(1,470,930)	-	-	-	Project ahead of schedule
94055	SRQ - STRATEGIC DEV PARTNER	2,829,400	2,502,135	327,266	327,266	-	-	-	-	Overall slippage on SRQ budgets to be subject to review as part of new delivery plan
94056	SRQ CYCLE ROUTE	83,138	84,908	(1,770)	-	-	(1,770)	-	-	Slight ovrspend on feasibility costs fully funded by LTP.
94326	EDWARD STREET	-	2,867	(2,867)	-	-	(2,867)	-	-	Scheme legacy costs. Fully funded by grant funding remaining.
94508	CASTLE COLLEGE GREEN LINK	13,771	797	12,974	12,974	-	-	-	-	Awaiting design resolution from Kier for green wall to resolve graffiti issue.
Total		62,926,100	59,157,091	3,769,010	5,398,737	(1,470,930)	(205,339)	46,540	-	

COMMUNITIES

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
97056	WARM & HEALTHY HOMES	-	429	(429)	-	-	(429)	-	-	Overspend upon accrual reversals: fully funded by grant remaining.
97058	WFCM	791,609	905,242	(113,634)	-	(113,634)	-	-	-	Accelerated costs from future years' budgets due to minor phasing differences (13%) in actual versus budget delivery schedules; additional resources to support Children's go live in July 2018 is above original forecast for 17/18 but will not affect overall project spend.
Total		791,609	905,671	(114,062)	-	(113,634)	(429)	-	-	

CORPORATE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
99987	CAPITAL PFI CONTRIBUTIONS	39,831,451	39,502,636	328,815	328,815	-	-	-	-	Slight under performance on contract. Payments to catch up 18/19
Total		39,831,451	39,502,636	328,815	328,815	-	-	-	-	

CULTURE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
94021	PIPWORTH REC SUDS	29,853	4,968	24,885	24,885	-	-	-	-	Slow start to project.
94114	OLP INFRASTRUCT PUBLIC REALM	1,126,674	1,101,752	24,922	23,922	-	-	-	1,000	Slippage required to complete highway works on Collieridge Rd.
94115	FA PITCH (WESTFIELD)	5,818,285	5,157,531	660,754	73,831	-	-	586,923	-	Expected underspend due to sizeable risk/contingency pot that hasn't been needed. Majority of saving reduces Prudential Borrowing requirement.
94118	HOWARD ST RILL REFURBISHMENT	36,670	8,000	28,670	28,670	-	-	-	-	The work involves the fixing, grouting and finishing of mosaic tiles in the rill, this work can't be carried out in cold or persistently wet weather. Work postponed until Spring 2018.
Total		20,990,654	20,010,883	979,770	151,308	(2,036)	(148,038)	977,536	1,000	

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90448	SF DEVOLVED CAPITAL	946,230	1,339,904	(393,674)	-	(393,674)	-	-	-	Due to also paying out prior years' accumulated balances to schools before introduction of the new '100% passporting out to schools' policy starts in 2018-19.
90479	POST IMPLEMENTATION	726	1,160	(434)	-	-	(434)	-	-	Small overspend funded from capital receipts. Project now closed
90614	LEP BUSINESS PLAN - W4	26,455	26,455	(0)	-	-	(0)	-	-	Project now complete
90627	ADD'L PUPIL PLACES(SECONDARY)	46,560	46,560	-	-	-	-	-	-	Project now complete
90639	SCC CONTRACT COSTS - W4	185,341	172,397	12,944	12,944	-	-	-	-	Small balance required to cover possible legal costs
90691	PMY MAINT. EMERGENCY WORKS	140,957	32,661	108,296	-	-	-	108,296	-	Emergency works budget allocation for year not fully utilised. Saving to School Condition Allowance
90704	FOSTER CARER HOUSING ENHANCE	95,000	47,961	47,039	47,039	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to complete agreed house conversion
90716	GRACE OWEN NURSERY	1,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	Project now complete
90737	GLEADLESS PRIMARY - REBUILD	116,970	111,970	5,000	-	-	-	5,000	-	Scheme complete saving to School Condition Allocation
90744	FEL CAPITAL	13,717	8,750	4,967	4,967	-	-	-	-	Final works to be completed early 2018/19
90745	THORNBRIDGE ACCESSIBLE UNIT	14,124	11,275	2,849	2,849	-	-	-	-	Job expected to complete in August 2018. Scheme still in defects period.
90747	HALLAM RECONFIGURATION	80,255	67,900	12,355	12,355	-	-	-	-	Retention costs outstanding
90758	LYDGATE INFANTS - MECHANICAL	-	25,692	(25,692)	-	-	(25,692)	-	-	Accrual for final payment missed at scheme completion in 15/16. Payment made 17/18. Overall scheme overspend£33k
90761	DON VALLEY SCHOOL	35,000	39,475	(4,475)	-	(4,475)	-	-	-	Acceleration due to earlier purchase of addition ICT equipment by school.
90762	TINSLEY PRIMARY	284,332	252,292	32,040	32,040	-	-	-	-	Slippage related to the re-phasing of landscaping works to allow effective community consultation to be undertaken and a community use agreement to be developed following demolition of the former school buildings.
90763	ROWAN EXPANSION	12,615	12,615	-	-	-	-	-	-	Project now complete: close at Year End
90769	ALDINE HOUSE - SUNDRY WORKS	2,033	2,033	-	-	-	-	-	-	Project now complete
90771	ADAPTATIONS	130,325	34,744	95,581	33,644	-	-	61,937	-	Emergency works budget allocation for year not fully utilised. Saving to School Condition Allowance.
90773	ALDINE HOUSE- 2 BED EXTENSION	739,488	741,108	(1,620)	-	(1,620)	-	-	-	Small acceleration (0.2%) due to actual vs phased budget timing differences: project ongoing
90779	PIPWORTH PMY- PLANT ROOM	17,465	11,428	6,037	-	-	-	6,037	-	Project now complete saving to School Condition Allocation
90790	RAINBOW FORGE -HME	-	(4,715)	4,715	-	-	-	4,715	-	Over accrual in previous year resulting in current year saving to School Condition Allocation
90791	PMY MAINT MEERSBROOK WIN-ROOF	54,777	10,851	43,926	-	-	-	43,926	-	Final account settled all defects complete from 12 month's inspection. Saving to School Condition Allocation

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90792	PMY MAINT BRADWAY ROOF	-	5,698	(5,698)	-	-	(5,698)	-	-	Project now complete additional cost to School Condition Allocation.
90793	PMY MAINT SHORTBROOK WINDOWS	14,450	6,580	7,870	-	-	-	7,870	-	Project now complete saving to School Condition Allocation
90797	MERCIA SCHOOL	12,770,990	14,683,372	(1,912,382)	-	(1,912,382)	-	-	-	Acceleration due to additional surveys/monitoring in relation to ground conditions being undertaken.
90801	SILVERDALE 2FE EXPANSION T/P	7,365	-	7,365	7,365	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to meet payments for extension to the mobile solution to allow the continuation of the temporary 2 form expansion.
90802	ASTREA ACADEMY	9,604,229	6,042,715	3,561,514	3,561,514	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to over optimistic cash-flow submitted by the contractor. This has been compounded by the delays caused by service providers. These issues have been rectified. An up to date cash-flow from the contractor has now been received.
90808	ALDINE HOUSE UNDERCROFT AREA2	510,403	329,697	180,706	180,706	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to slow progress on site and difficulty in agreeing costs for additional underpinning works.
90810	DOBCROFT JNR EXPANSION	37,600	46,943	(9,343)	-	(9,343)	-	-	-	Acceleration against project budget of £240k for 18/19
90815	FRA 16-17 DOBCROFT JUNIOR	84,000	-	84,000	84,000	-	-	-	-	Now delivered in tandem with Dobcroft Expansion scheme, FRA element not yet delivered.
90820	FRA 16-17 FIRS HILL PMY SCH	197,400	370,520	(173,120)	-	-	(173,120)	-	-	Original Budgets were based on square meterage estimates prior to full design. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. A further £10k costs are forecast for 18/19. Works funded from School Condition Allocation
90824	FRA 16-17 LYDGATE JUNIOR	-	169,652	(169,652)	-	-	(169,652)	-	-	The budget for this scheme forms part of the FRA Works Measured Term Contract Business Unit but was not formally separated out. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. A further £110k costs are forecast for 18/19. Works funded from School Condition Allocation

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90825	FRA 16-17 ECCLESALL JUNIOR	141,332	125,168	16,164	16,164	-	-	-	-	Scheme slightly behind profile to date but overall cost forecast to be a further £60k over authorised budget as original Budgets were based on square meterage estimates prior to full design. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. Works funded from School Condition Allocation.
90827	FRA 16-17 HUNTERS BAR INFANTS	104,830	123,479	(18,649)	-	-	(18,649)	-	-	Original Budgets were based on square meterage estimates prior to full design. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. Works funded from School Condition Allocation
90833	FRA 16-17 STANNINGTON COMMCTR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	BU closed as moved to TFM instead
90836	FRA 16-17 BANKWOOD	222,070	206,631	15,439	15,439	-	-	-	-	Original Budgets were based on square meterage estimates prior to full design. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. A further £130k costs are forecast for 18/19. Works funded from School Condition Allocation
90837	FRA 16-17 BEIGTON NURSERY INF	141,728	169,491	(27,763)	-	-	(27,763)	-	-	Costs of scheme considerably higher than original approved budget. Works funded from School Condition Allocation. Full details of reasons for costs to be provided to People Capital and Growth Board.
90841	FRA 16-17 GREENHILL PRIMARY	245,829	222,038	23,791	23,791	-	-	-	-	Scheme slightly behind profile to date.
90844	FRA 16-17 PIPWORTH COMM PMY	282,153	199,908	82,245	82,245	-	-	-	-	Scheme slightly behind profile to date.
90853	FRA 16-17 HUNTERS BAR JUNIOR	155,174	208,289	(53,115)	-	-	(53,115)	-	-	Original Budgets were based on square meterage estimates prior to full design. Outturn costs and future forecasts reflect actual contractor scheme design costs including an uplift for out of hours working. Works funded from School Condition Allocation
90857	MECHANICAL REPLACE MOSSBROOK	878,896	759,600	119,296	119,296	-	-	-	-	Project ongoing : slippage required to complete the project. Works funded from School Condition Allocation.
90858	MECHANICAL REPLACE HALFWAY	385,721	415,735	(30,014)	-	-	(30,014)	-	-	Now complete. Post year end information indicates that overspend may be offset in 18/19 due to over accrual.
90859	MECHANICAL REPLACE BRUNSWICK	826,550	130,789	695,760	695,760	-	-	-	-	Higher than anticipated full cost estimate of works has led to delay in programme as new solution is considered.

CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90861	ECCLESALL PERMANENT EXTENSION	2,935,711	2,376,502	559,209	559,209	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to delays to works on site due to inclement weather. Expectation that works will be accelerated in the April and May period.
90864	SPRINGFIELD PRIMARY BULGE YR	9,780	-	9,780	9,780	-	-	-	-	Slippage for minor works in junior block once the pupils reached Y3 Sept 2019)
90865	TOTLEY PRIMARY PERM EXTN	1,213,812	1,152,428	61,384	61,384	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to first month's valuation not claimed by contractor in 2017/18 year. Although total cost of project remains the same.
90866	ALDINE HOUSE- SECURITY MINDER	135,906	135,906	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90871	EY 30HR - CONSORTIUM	303,260	149,964	153,296	153,296	-	-	-	-	Slippage required re: Fir Vale(Elnisah) - Project started due to complete end of April 2018 subject to any delays (weather). Ellesmere - project received extension 31/08/18.
90872	EY 30HR - ST THOMAS	222,300	185,250	37,050	37,050	-	-	-	-	Grant to project to be finalised 2018-19:
90873	EY 30HR - INTAKE PRE-SCH	20,000	-	20,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	Project waiting planning permission DfE extension to 31/08/18.
90874	EY 30HR - TWINKLE NURSERY	37,327	-	37,327	37,327	-	-	-	-	Provider has decided not to proceed with the work any budget remaining to be repaid to DfE.
90875	EY 30HR - COUME SPRINGS CC	47,093	47,093	-	-	-	-	-	-	Project now complete.
90876	EY 30HR - WINCOBANK NI	30,950	4,710	26,240	26,240	-	-	-	-	Project complete. Awaiting final invoices and completion certificate - deadline 30 April 2018: To finalise in 2018-19.
90877	MECHANICAL REACTIVE	485,309	474,085	11,224	11,224	-	-	-	-	Slippage of allocation for Reactive Repairs unused.
90882	ACRES HILL - MOBILE REMOVAL	21,142	-	21,142	21,142	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to the only available window to demolish this mobile was in the Easter holiday so not completed before year end.
90883	ALDINE HOUSE CCTV UPGRADE	67,854	67,854	0	-	-	0	-	-	0
90884	FRA WORKS MTC CYP	301,553	-	301,553	301,553	-	-	-	-	Budget allocation for Fire Risk Assessment Works funded from School Condition Allocation to be slipped to 18/19 and used to offset overspending FRA projects identified above.
93490	WOODSEATS PMY ADAPTATIONS	20,316	4,547	15,769	15,769	-	-	-	-	Project ongoing - Slippage required to allow completion.
90159	FRA WORKS 16-17	(80,175)	(80,175)	-	-	-	-	-	-	This is a T&FM project now - with this £80k credit relating to re-allocation of prior year CYPF expenditure: no further action required
Total		35,326,227	31,727,984	3,598,243	6,186,092	(2,321,493)	(504,137)	237,781	-	

HIGHWAYS

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90703	BLACKBURN VALLEY CYCLE ROUTE	368,656	238,401	130,255	12,149	-	-	118,105	-	Underspend is STEP; funding ended 31.03.18 Completion due 18/19: Issues with Land Owner hence reason STEP not spent. LTP funding to be added to budget in 18/19
91611	MS - IRR STAGES 2 & 3	18,710	1,050	17,660	17,660	-	-	-	-	Slippage is S106 Project completed in 2007. Slippage is for funding potential land claims
91662	PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY	168,520	165,087	3,434	3,434	-	-	-	-	Small variation in spend profile
92642	BRIDGE STRENGTHENING WORKS	69,366	45,408	23,959	23,959	-	-	-	-	Network rail have re-programmed work. Slippage expected to be fully spent in 2018-19
92643	STREETS AHEAD COMP WORKS	52,226	53,510	(1,284)	-	-	(1,284)	-	-	Slight overspend fully funded from LTP
92769	ACCIDENT SAVINGS SCHEMES	110,208	111,502	(1,294)	-	-	(1,294)	-	-	Slight overspend fully funded from LTP
92828	SAFETY CAMERA DIGITAL UPGRADE	47,314	42,301	5,013	-	-	-	5,013	-	Project is now complete and no slippage is required. No saving to SCC as grant funded.
92879	CHATHAM STREET CYCLE SCHEME	8,583	1,663	6,920	-	-	-	6,920	-	Project feasibility complete, project not progressing due to expiration of funding
92880	HALLAM UNIVERSITY CYCLE ROUTE	512,605	709,364	(196,759)	-	-	(196,759)	-	-	Overspend is due to moving costs at YE to SRQ for cycle route element. All expenditure is fully funded from STEP
92882	SHEAF VALLEY RIVERSIDE ROUTE	195,541	182,061	13,480	13,480	-	-	-	-	The project is largely complete, slippage is to fund minor amendments following a complaint from a member of the public and the RSA3 including any relevant changes arising from this
92903	LOWER DON VALLEY CYCLE ROUTE	165,000	138,303	26,697	-	-	-	26,697	-	Project complete. No saving to SCC as grant funded
92913	LITTLE DON LINK (CYCLE ROUTE)	995,616	773,823	221,792	221,792	-	-	-	-	Slippage is due to a number of issues have arisen on site during 2017/18 with site clearance
92915	DOUBLE YELLOW LINES	50,000	49,528	473	473	-	-	-	-	LTP
92918	BANNER CROSS PARKING	15,400	5,025	10,375	10,375	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to issue with purchase of parking meters being delayed
92933	DARNALL CYCLE ROUTES	425,920	404,464	21,456	21,456	-	-	-	-	Slippage is to fund RSA3 and completion of phase 2 (signage)

HIGHWAYS

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
92935	TRAM TRACK CYCLE SAFTEY	34,386	14,412	19,975	10,000	-	-	9,975	-	Slippage is for completion of design stage. Underspend is saving to LTP
92936	LTP CYCLE PARKING	8,600	4,702	3,898	3,898	-	-	-	-	LTP
92938	PARKING INITIATIVES	-	965	(965)	-	(965)	-	-	-	Spend slightly ahead of schedule.
92951	STEP CLAIMS FUNDING	3,190,834	3,190,834	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
93053	PFI ACCESSIBILITY ENHANCEMENTS	363,757	284,283	79,475	79,475	-	-	-	-	Slippage is for the construction of Bellhouse Road Scheme. Not progressed in 17/18 as focus was given to completing STEP funded schemes
93074	CITY CENTRE 20MPH SCHEME	55,260	55,422	(162)	-	(162)	-	-	-	Spend slightly ahead of schedule.
93095	TAXI RANK IMPROVEMENTS	24,740	12,407	12,334	12,334	-	-	-	-	Slippage due focussing on STEP funded schemes in 17/18
93110	BB2 CHESTERFIELD RD KBR	2,619,804	2,568,701	51,104	51,104	-	-	-	-	Will finish on site in June 18. PtE given authority to slip the funding.
93111	BB2 NORTH SHEFFIELD 2 KBR	4,500	-	4,500	-	-	-	4,500	-	0
93112	BB2 SHEFFIELD GLEADLESS KBR	18,000	(4,563)	22,563	-	-	-	22,563	-	Scheme complete. No saving to SCC as grant funded
93113	BB2 CITY CENTRE PACKAGE	171,669	99,516	72,153	72,153	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to enable completion of purchase of required software
93115	LANGSETT /FORBES ROAD	18,522	4,157	14,365	14,365	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to rescheduling of overall tram track replacement works & to fund detailed design. Works are now scheduled in 2019/20
93117	NORTH SHEFFIELD BBA GROUP B	671,239	644,099	27,140	3,000	-	-	24,140	-	Minor slippage only. Scheme complete. No saving to SCC as grant funded
93118	NORTH SHEFFIELD BBA GROUP C	248,000	41,286	206,714	151,905	-	-	54,809	-	Slippage: largely due to the focus on delivering STEP funded projects. Due for completion by June 18. No saving to SCC as grant funded.
93120	BUS HOTSPOTS	25,000	-	25,000	25,000	-	-	-	-	Slippage : due to resource issues within the scheme design team
93350	STREETS AHEAD OPPORTUNITIES	310,000	196,039	113,961	1,052	-	-	112,909	-	Saving due to delivering outputs less than estimated
93371	GREENHILL MAIN RD/G'HILL AVE	206,591	196,430	10,160	10,160	-	-	-	-	. Slippage to fund RSA3 and any issues arising
93372	ITS NETWORK MANAGEMENT	334,840	306,188	28,652	5,000	-	-	23,652	-	Saving to LTP
93373	AIR QUALITY MONITOR EQUIPMENT	11,068	8,350	2,718	2,718	-	-	-	-	Minor slippage on equipment purchase
93374	IRR JUNCTION SCHEMES	127,120	272,307	(145,187)	-	-	(145,187)	-	-	Increased costs on scheme design funded from LTP
93375	CANAL TOWPATH RESURFACE	7,966	12,547	(4,581)	-	-	(4,581)	-	-	Scheme not progressing. No saving to SCC as grant funded.

HIGHWAYS

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
93425	RELOCATABLE CAMERA ENFORCEMNT	166,000	249	165,751	165,751	-	-	-	-	£126k of slippage is directly related to the delay in the purchase of the cameras. The remaining is to fund the design and implementation costs associated with the new camera locations
93632	SCHOOL KEEP CLEAR REVIEW	114,140	120,281	(6,141)	-	(6,141)	-	-	-	LTP negative slippage due to cost being charged after final claim was submitted
93633	SKELTON LANE (ONE WAY)	5,000	2,473	2,527	2,527	-	-	-	-	LTP
93887	BRT NORTH	178,100	184,304	(6,204)	-	-	(6,204)	-	-	Ongoing Project management costs funded from LTP
93888	BRT NORTH: HWYS ALTER'NS (WP24	11,622	11,622	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
93890	BRT NORTH: TINSLEY LINK (WP21	622,657	368,336	254,321	254,321	-	-	-	-	Slippage is to cover AMEY ongoing fees, stats diversions final account costs and construction costs under snagging.
94445	BN962 BUS AGREEMENT	776,811	686,211	90,601	90,601	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to the focus on delivering STEP projects in 17/18
97982	HGV ROUTING STRATEGY	25,780	20,013	5,767	5,767	-	-	-	-	Slight delay in delivery.
97985	CITYWIDE 20MPH ZONE	177,681	118,257	59,425	59,425	-	-	-	-	Slippage largely related to delays in the approval of the construction of the Meadowhead scheme
97986	TRAFFIC CONTROLLER UPGRADES	60,000	64,188	(4,188)	-	-	(4,188)	-	-	Underspend but no saving to SCC as grant funded.
97988	CCTV PARKING ENFORCEMENT	18,000	15,710	2,291	-	-	-	2,291	-	Saving to LTP
Q0092	NORTH SHEFFIELD BETTER BUSES	467,516	-	467,516	-	-	-	467,516	-	Funding allocation not to be taken up. No saving to SCC.
Total		14,278,870	12,421,213	1,857,657	1,345,332	(7,268)	(359,497)	879,089	-	

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90136	CHAUCER SQUARE MAINTENANCE	18,000	14,478	3,522	-	-	-	3,522	-	Costs lower than anticipated. Saving to HRA Capital receipts.
97127	OBSOLETE HEATING	0	(14,811)	14,811	-	-	-	14,811	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97131	ALMO ASBESTOS SURVEYS	211,600	206,769	4,831	4,831	-	-	-	-	A new contract is being procured and the slippage will maintain the overall scheme budget. This will likely be revised once the new contract has been awarded.
97139	LANSDOWNE AND HANOVER CLADDING	10,000	4,040	5,960	5,960	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required to finalise the costs of the ongoing legal dispute.
97147	ADAPTATIONS	2,016,584	1,830,516	186,068	186,068	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required for extensions work in 2018/19. 7 were planned that haven't yet completed
97148	S H MGMT FEES COMMISSIONED	2,780,700	2,780,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	No slippage
97149	SH RENTENTIONS - OLD SCHEMES	-	(17,363)	17,363	-	-	-	17,363	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97150	RHB LOANS HAL	200,000	167,436	32,565	-	-	-	32,565	-	To be viewed in conjunction with 97152, 97172, 97176. Overall saving £15,273.52
97152	BRADFORD RHB LOANS HAL	-	8,710	(8,710)	-	-	(8,710)	-	-	To be viewed in conjunction with 97152, 97172, 97176. Overall saving £15,273.52
97172	DONCASTER RHB LOANS RAL	-	1,170	(1,170)	-	-	(1,170)	-	-	To be viewed in conjunction with 97152, 97172, 97176. Overall saving £15,273.52
97176	BRADFORD RF FUNDS HAL	-	7,411	(7,411)	-	-	(7,411)	-	-	To be viewed in conjunction with 97152, 97172, 97176. Overall saving £15,273.52
97222	PSH EMPTY PROPERTIES	120,000	25,796	94,204	-	-	-	94,204	-	This budget is to pay for enforcement action against private landlords and is self financing through recharges. No slippage required as 2018/19 budget already in place and no outstanding works.
97243	YORK - NY SUB REGION HAL	69,673	26,330	43,343	43,343	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to complete an additional 3 loans that were planned for 17/18 but failed to complete.
97264	HEALTH & SAFETY ENHANCE PROG	126,506	122,436	4,070	-	-	-	4,070	-	Saving to Major repairs Reserve
97269	EMERGENCY DEMOLITIONS	25,000	108,183	(83,183)	-	-	(83,183)	-	-	This project overspent as a result of emergency demolition works required at Daresbury and Greenland. The overspend will be covered from the overall savings within the HRA Programme.

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97282	PARK HILL (STH)	21,412	9,527	11,885	11,885	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required as the Council has an ongoing liability for the security measures to the Phase 5 block until an agreement has been reached with Urban Splash to formally hand over.
97321	PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT COSTS GF	5,696,000	5,419,497	276,503	-	-	-	276,503	-	Saving on budget of £296k for Housing General Fund Works. Saving to capital receipts.
97333	MINOR WORK GRANTS	150,000	62,686	87,314	-	-	-	87,314	-	Take up for Minor Works has been less than expected. Many of the works undertaken now funded from Disabled Facilities Grant.
97334	DISABLED GRANTS	4,031,226	2,670,081	1,361,145	1,361,145	-	-	-	-	The total expenditure of £2.670m is £0.078m more than the previous year. However, slippage is due to the large increase in grant, which inflated the available budget. The Disabled Facilities Grant funding can be carried forward. Work is ongoing to ensure grant usage is maximised going forward.
97338	PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT COSTS RTB	-	510,900	(510,900)	-	-	-	-	(510,900)	This relates to £1.3k transaction costs relating to Right To Buy Properties - Costs are directly funded from Right To Buy sales at each year end.
97340	SWAN	13,933	-	13,933	13,933	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required for any outstanding compensation payments.
97348	HRA PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT	250,000	259,050	(9,050)	-	-	(9,050)	-	-	Slight overspend on HRA Programme Management costs. Chargeto Major Repairs Reserve
97350	ARBOURTHORNE 5MS	10,000	909	9,091	9,091	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required for any outstanding compensation payments.
97390	PHS ACTIVITY	130,000	9,960	120,040	-	-	-	120,040	-	This budget is to pay for enforcement action against private landlords and is self financing through recharges. No slippage required as 2018/19 budget already in place and no outstanding works.
97391	WAKEFIELD - WY SUB REGION HAL	-	13,948	(13,948)	-	-	(13,948)	-	-	Loans issued without budget but fully funded from HAL contributions
97392	NORTH LINCS - SUB REGION HAL	-	966	(966)	-	-	(966)	-	-	Loans issued without budget but fully funded from HAL contributions
97394	HULL - HUMBER SUB REGION HAL	456,322	241,967	214,355	214,355	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to complete 13 loans anticipated in 17/18 but not delivered.
97395	NE LINCS - SUB REGION HAL	-	20	(20)	-	-	(20)	-	-	Loans issued without budget but fully funded from HAL contributions
97396	SCC RETAINED RETENTIONS	-	9,183	(9,183)	-	-	(9,183)	-	-	Overspend partially covered by 3rd party contributions. Small charge to Major repairs reserve
97404	HEATING BREAKDOWNS	725,000	714,704	10,296	-	-	-	10,296	-	Saving to Major repairs Reserve

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97405	INSULATION (COUNCIL HSG)	(0)	4,400	(4,400)	-	(4,400)	-	-	-	Accelerated costs on Insulation Works
97409	RECYCLING ROLL-OUT	(0)	(9,838)	9,838	-	-	-	9,838	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97412	BALFOUR	-	(12,775)	12,775	-	-	-	12,775	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97413	SWEENY	-	(80)	80	-	-	-	80	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97414	ARBOURTHORNE 5M'S REFURB	-	(1,236)	1,236	-	-	-	1,236	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97416	COMM HTG - PIPEWORK RENEWAL	-	(14,526)	14,526	-	-	-	14,526	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97418	PITCHED ROOFING & ROOFLINE	20,987,914	18,257,083	2,730,831	2,730,831	-	-	-	-	Due to the continued under performance of one of the contractors, the project has underspent. The 2017/18 budget had previously already been reduced by £3.5m due to a combination of poor performance and a higher than required budget. Rather than remove contractor a lower rate of outputs was agreed until 2017/18 commitments have been met. The 2018/19 commitments will then be re-tendered. This has resulted in around 635 fewer outputs in 2017/18. Hence slippage required to allow delivery of these in 18/19
97419	FLAT ROOFING	440,840	273,079	167,761	167,761	-	-	-	-	The final cost of the contract came in less than expected. Funds to be slipped into block allocation for future roofing projects.
97422	NON HIGHWAYS RESPONSIVE WORKS	20,000	-	20,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	This budget is to cover instances of where work to Housing owned highways, which has been identified by Highways. There have been no such instances in 2017/18, however the budget needs to be slipped as a contingency for this type of work.
97428	SHEFFIELD HAL	66,303	-	66,303	16,303	-	-	50,000	-	£16K income being held on Balance Sheet so this can be recycled.
97429	LTE'S PURCHASE & REPAIR	960,000	652,967	307,033	307,033	-	-	-	-	This project completed 11 Long Term Empty properties in 2017/18, completing the overall target of 45 outputs over the 3 years of the programme. The remaining £307K will be put back into the block allocation for the Stock Increase Programme.

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97435	LTE'S REPAIRS AND REFURB CHS	282,687	54,054	228,632	228,632	-	-	-	-	This project is to repair and refurb the properties acquired under the Long Term Empty Property scheme. The cost of repairing and refurbishing the 11 properties acquired was on average considerably lower than anticipated. The remaining £229K will be put back into the block allocation for the Stock Increase Programme.
97441	COMMUNAL AREAS-LOW RISE FLATS	6,906,998	6,617,398	289,600	289,600	-	-	-	-	Handover of works is behind target due to backlog in painting which has reduced number of handovers. This has led to outputs and spend being behind target. Anticipation was the 2017/18 programme would complete in January 2018, but due to reasons above this hasn't been the case. The slippage will be required to complete the scheme.
97442	KITCHEN/BATHRM PLANNED REPLMT	11,529,325	13,058,895	(1,529,570)	-	(1,529,570)	-	-	-	The contractor has brought forward future years work and presented a proposal to complete all work by the end of May 2018. The final number of outputs in 2017/18 is 1440, an acceleration of 425 outputs, including 165 vacant properties, on the original planned number of 1015.
97443	WINDOWS& DOORS PLACEMENT(CHS)	4,871,095	3,387,478	1,483,616	1,483,616	-	-	-	-	Disputes over forecast final account with key contractor have resulted in delays to the programme. As a result slippage is required to allow completion of the programme in 2018/19
97444	GENERAL/RTB ACQUISITIONS CHS	768,023	569,278	198,745	198,745	-	-	-	-	This project is to repair and refurb the properties acquired under the General Acquisitions scheme. The cost of repairing and refurbishing the 27 properties acquired was on average considerably lower than anticipated. The remaining £199K will be put back into the block allocation for the Stock Increase Programme.
97448	PROPERTY CONVERSIONS	-	(4,719)	4,719	-	-	-	4,719	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97449	LONDON ROAD SHOP FRONTS	50,000	99	49,901	-	-	-	49,901	-	Saving to Growth Investment Fund
97450	HILLSBOROUGH TOILETS	60,000	143	59,857	-	-	-	59,857	-	Project closed saving to Growth Investment Fund
97451	REGIONAL ENERGY HAL	10,000	-	10,000	-	-	-	10,000	-	Expected Loans not made
97452	REGIONAL ERL	25,000	5,402	19,598	-	-	-	19,598	-	Expected Loans not made
97453	EMPTY PROPERTY LOANS	112,000	-	112,000	112,000	-	-	-	-	Agreement to go ahead with the Loan scheme expected June18
97454	MANOR TOP CENTRE	219,625	148,189	71,436	-	-	-	71,436	-	Scheme complete saving to Growth Investment Fund

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97456	GARAGES STRATEGY DEMOLITION	574,950	438,515	136,435	136,435	-	-	-	-	This is linked to 97459 Garage Improvements. All garages across the city are being surveyed to determine whether to demolish them, or improve them and get/keep rent money coming in. An estimate was made at the beginning of the process of how many demolitions and improvements there might be based on the information available at the time. Demolitions have spent more because once flagged for demolition it can be done quite quickly whereas improvements take longer. The budgets on both BUs will be reviewed once the surveys are complete.
97459	GARAGE STRATEGY-IMPROVEMENT	129,000	15,330	113,670	113,670	-	-	-	-	This is linked to 97456 Garage Demolitions. All garages across the city are being surveyed to determine whether to demolish them, or improve them and get/keep rent money coming in. An estimate was made at the beginning of the process of how many demolitions and improvements there might be based on the information available at the time. Demolitions have spent more because once flagged for demolition it can be done quite quickly whereas improvements take longer. The budgets on both BUs will be reviewed once the surveys are complete.
97461	ASBESTOS REMOVAL	253,566	251,021	2,545	2,545	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required to maintain the budget in line with the contract value at this stage.
97471	EWI NON-TRADITIONAL1	1,000	1,150	(150)	-	-	(150)	-	-	Slight overspend on initial costs but overall budget is £3.7m
97472	EWI NON-TRADITIONAL 2	1,000	1,149	(149)	-	-	(149)	-	-	Slight overspend on initial costs but overall budget is £5.9m
97473	EWI NON-TRADITIONAL 3	1,000	1,149	(149)	-	-	(149)	-	-	Slight overspend on initial costs but overall budget is £4m
97502	EP NORTH EAST LINC	47,699	91,416	(43,717)	-	-	(43,717)	-	-	More loans than expected but fully funded from contributions
97503	EP LOANS BRADFORD	-	582	(582)	-	-	(582)	-	-	More loans than expected but fully funded from contributions
97508	WYCA REPAYMENT LOANS	20,000	194	19,806	19,806	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to complete 4 loans planned for 17/18 that weren't completed.
97520	KIRKLEES RF FUNDS HAL(2)	30,000	-	30,000	-	-	-	30,000	-	Loans budgeted for not made.

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97551	COUNCIL HSG ACQUISITIONS PROG	2,400,000	1,838,596	561,404	561,404	-	-	-	-	There were 27 properties acquired in 2017/18 and the revised target was 30. The saving is due to a combination of 3 less properties acquired and the lower average cost per property than anticipated. There were fewer acquisitions due to a combination of suitable available properties and the financial viability of those properties over 30 years. The remaining £561K will be put back into the block allocation for the Stock Increase Programme.
97552	NEW BUILD COUNCIL HSG PHASE 1	17,065	(5,108)	22,173	22,173	-	-	-	-	All the New Build projects will require full slippage to maintain the overall budgets in line with the contract values at this stage.
97553	NEW BUILD COUNCIL HSG PHASE 2	59,242	7,570	51,672	51,672	-	-	-	-	All the New Build projects will require full slippage to maintain the overall budgets in line with the contract values at this stage.
97554	NEW BUILD COUN HSG PH 3	32,300	18,400	13,900	13,900	-	-	-	-	All the New Build projects will require full slippage to maintain the overall budgets in line with the contract values at this stage.
97555	NEW BUILD COUN HSG PH 4A	197,965	61,980	135,985	135,985	-	-	-	-	All the New Build projects will require full slippage to maintain the overall budgets in line with the contract values at this stage.
97556	NEW BUILD COUN HSG PH 4B	30,950	18,872	12,078	12,078	-	-	-	-	All the New Build projects will require full slippage to maintain the overall budgets in line with the contract values at this stage.
97832	EP EAST	-	(1,000)	1,000	-	-	-	1,000	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97834	EP SOUTH EAST	58,314	19,509	38,805	-	-	-	38,805	-	A liability has been taken for an unresolved cable relocation with National Power Grid and therefore the underspend is confirmed. Saving to major Repairs Reserve
97837	EP DOOR ENTRY WORKS	-	(15,301)	15,301	-	-	-	15,301	-	Over accrual in prior year results in saving to major repairs reserve
97838	COMPARTMENTALISATION - FS	211,364	137,174	74,191	74,191	-	-	-	-	The slippage is required for further fire safety work identified at void / inspection stage that was not completed as part of the programme.
97957	SOUTH WEST - ABBEY BROOK	38,402	(8,773)	47,175	-	-	-	47,175	-	This project is now complete and the underspend is confirmed. Saving to Major repairs Reserve

HOUSING

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
97961	DH - METERING	806,597	525,205	281,392	40,000	-	-	241,392	-	All bulk meters work was completed at the end of February 2018. Liabilities were taken at year end for retentions and to settle the final account. There are still 38 properties that require work but access has not been possible. The main contract has now expired. Repairs & Maintenance have been approached to cost up the remaining work to the 38 properties that we cannot currently gain access to. It is estimated that no more than £40K would be required in slippage for the work. Saving to Major Repairs Reserve
97968	LIFT MAINTENANCE & REPAIR	322,000	248,635	73,365	73,365	-	-	-	-	Following information from the Repairs & Maintenance finance team, there will be slippage of £73K due to delays in pre-ordered materials arriving for 2017/18.
97989	SPRINKLERS - FIRE SAFETY	76,827	3,298	73,529	73,529	-	-	-	-	Since the completion of the previous contract, successful negotiations have taken place with all 7 of the tenants and 1 of the leaseholders to gain access. However, work cannot proceed until a new contractor is appointed.
97990	SHELTERED FIRE ALARM LINKING	625,554	485,789	139,765	22,939	-	-	116,826	-	This project requires £23K in slippage to complete, which leaves a saving of £117K. Slippage is required for Kier retentions and expected Repairs & Maintenance charges. Saving to Major Repairs Reserve.
98002	ELECTRICAL STRATEGY	1,000,000	685,526	314,474	314,474	-	-	-	-	Budget and outputs were revised in December 2017 due to planning and tender delays. Contractors started on site on 2 January 2018 and 19 February 2018. The total outputs achieved for 2017/18 were 431 properties against a target of 600. The slippage is required to maintain the budget in line with the contract value at this stage.
Total		71,306,561	63,001,365	8,305,196	9,073,300	(1,533,970)	(178,387)	1,455,153	(510,900)	

PARKS

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
93416	OUSEBURN ROAD OPEN SPACE	11,182	6,566	4,616	4,616	-	-	-	0	Tarmacking work to complete the basket ball area of the site still to be delivered.
94121	WINCOBANK HILL PHASE 1	2,473	2,473	-	-	-	-	-	-	Finished
94397	PARK HILL GREEN LINKS	15,714	12,021	3,693	-	-	-	3,693	-	Finished. Saving to S106
94463	CLAY WOOD GREEN LINKS	12,768	8,580	4,188	-	-	-	4,188	-	Finished. Saving to Growth Investment Fund.
94467	BALL COURT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	51,171	10,427	40,744	40,744	-	-	-	-	Hollow Lane not started till March and works due to be completed in 18/19. Frecheville- bill re: Health and Safety works expcted 18/19
94470	URBAN NATURE PARKS	17,200	13,305	3,895	3,895	-	-	-	-	Minor slippage to manage potential replanting requirements on site
94476	BEIGHTON LEACHATE TREATMENT	62,343	47,312	15,031	15,031	-	-	-	-	Overestimate of works that could be achieved in 17/18
94477	PARKWOOD RESOLUTION SITE	26,805	14,046	12,759	12,759	-	-	-	-	Overestimate of works that could be achieved in 17/18
94492	RETHINKING PARSON CROSS PARK	61,825	60,364	1,461	1,461	-	-	-	-	Final site visit with Footpath contractor still to take place. Funds may be required for final works
94493	MOVE MORE RUNNING ROUTES	17,903	19,400	(1,497)	-	-	(1,497)	-	-	Finished: Fees higher than budgeted but covered by English Athletics Grant
94494	BOTANICAL GARDENS EDUCATION	46,279	40,315	5,963	-	-	-	5,963	-	Finished: Funded by Botanical Garden Trust so no overall saving to SCC
94496	WOODTHORPE RECREATION GROUND	1,465	1,465	-	-	-	-	-	-	Finished
94497	SHEFFIELD GENERAL CEMETERY HLF	313,379	257,234	56,145	17,305	-	-	38,840	-	Slip £17K for work relating to the planning application, which needs to be in place for Phase 2 and is part of the Phase 1 scope. Some aspects of project have proved cheaper to deliver
94499	NORFOLK HP PLAYGROUND PROJECT	85,693	59,655	26,038	26,038	-	-	-	-	Contract running several weeks late due to snow .
94500	PHILADELPHIA GARDENS	9,058	9,430	(372)	-	(372)	-	-	-	Slight difference in spend profile vs budget, reduce funding available next year.
94503	GRAVES PARK IMP. PROJECT	98,828	63,615	35,213	35,213	-	-	-	-	Toilet block works took longer than programmed. This meant the paths and seating couldn't be started when planned but are still in the scope of works to be completed in 18/19
94504	GREENHILL PARK IMPROVEMENTS	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	Finished
94505	DORE REC PITCH DRAINAGE	225	280	(55)	-	(55)	-	-	-	Fee come through earlier than expected, reduce funding available next year.
94506	GRAVES AND MILLHOUSE COURTS	12,957	12,712	245	245	-	-	-	(0)	Retention outstanding
94507	BINGHAM COURTS	118,644	71,343	47,301	47,301	-	-	-	0	Final invoices and sports consultant fees still to be paid.

PARKS

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
94509	BENTS GREEN PITCH S106	29,393	14,983	14,410	14,410	-	-	-	(0)	Adverse weather delayed works. Now expected completion 18/19
94510	GREEN ESTATE S106	67,425	601	66,824	66,825	-	-	-	(0)	Contractor capacity issues due to illness. Revised work schedule now in place.
94513	ECB PITCHES	15,416	7,716	7,701	4,876	-	-	2,824	1	Scheme complete, retention of £4,876 still payable. Saving available to other Parks projects as revenue contribution
94515	GRENOSIDE SKATE PARK	1,500	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
94516	COLLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	17,195	14,970	2,226	2,225	-	-	-	0	Urban Environmental Design works not charged as expected. Now due 18/19
94517	PX COLLEGE PLAY MONEY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Transferred to 94516
94518	MILLHOUSES PARK BASKETBALL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Finished
94519	BOWDEN HOUSTEADS ACCESS	4,548	-	4,548	4,548	-	-	-	-	Tree works delayed so encroached on nesting season, will now take place September18
94520	ECCLESALL WOOD ACCESS	6,600	4,509	2,091	2,091	-	-	-	(0)	Slight difference in spend profile vs budget for Phase 1, further costs will be paid early April
94521	MOUNT PLEASANT CRICKET CAGE	19,075	19,075	-	-	-	-	-	-	Finished
94522	WORRALL RECREATION GROUND	7,251	7,251	(0)	-	-	(0)	-	-	Finished
94523	PLAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT	67,030	58,775	8,254	8,254	-	-	-	-	There were delays in completing Loxley Rec because of water logged ground conditions so not completed in 17/18.
94525	SCCT S106 PROJECTS	22,200	-	22,200	22,200	-	-	-	-	Delay in signing grant agreement to allow delivery of works.
94526	TOTLEY BENTS PITCH DRAINAGE	500	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
94527	HAGG LANE ALLOTMENTS	9,560	-	9,560	9,560	-	-	-	-	Delays in work pgressing due to quality of work and weather conditions. Expect the project to be completed in the next few months.
94528	SHIREBROOK VISITOR CENTRE	11,000	1,386	9,614	9,614	-	-	-	-	Costings for the initial survey came in at less than anticipated but further survey work may be needed as a result.
Q0093	GREEN AND OPEN SPACES S106 STRATEGY	940,898	-	940,898	940,898	-	-	-	-	Overall allocation for works not yet commenced but expected in future years
Total		2,185,627	841,932	1,343,694	1,290,112	(427)	(1,497)	55,508	(0)	

RESOURCES

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjustment	Comments
90141	IT WORKSTREAMS	30,000	34,203	(4,203)	-	-	(4,203)	-	-	Now complete: to close at Year End
90199	MOORFOOT LIFTS	417,114	31,823	385,291	385,291	-	-	-	-	Ongoing Project: to slip at Year End: timing difference of Actual vs March'18 Phased Budget Expenditure, due to extended equipment lead times from overseas suppliers
Total		447,114	66,026	381,088	385,291	-	(4,203)	-	-	

TRANSPORT & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
90065	RADON WORKS	30,570	-	30,570	30,570	-	-	-	-	Funding required to undertake works to mitigate works arising from Radon Inspections
90067	TREE MANAGEMENT PROG	7,411	4,905	2,506	2,506	-	-	-	-	Funding required to address Tree Management Works at General Cemetery
90068	CLOSED PROPERTIES PROG	41,404	41,404	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
90072	RESURFACING (INC PARKS) PROG	155,709	86,459	69,250	69,250	-	-	-	-	Slippage on this budget to be consolidated into future programme of works.
90074	GENERAL CEMETERY	10,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90076	DAMS & WATER COURSES PROG	31,501	25,172	6,329	6,329	-	-	-	-	Slippage on this budget to be consolidated into future programme of works.
90077	BOTANICAL GDS PUBLIC TOILETS	112,179	99,482	12,697	-	-	-	12,697	-	Saving to Capital receipts
90080	STANIFORTH WORKS FRA (R)	9,778	9,778	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90081	FRA 1516 STOCKSBRIDGE YC R	5,654	5,654	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90082	FRA 1516 BISHOPS HOUSE M R	(84)	(84)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90083	FRA 1516 FIRTH PK CLK TWR R	2,762	2,762	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90086	ASBESTOS REMOVAL FRAMEWORK	127,001	40,033	86,968	86,968	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to allow ongoing management of Asbestos removal and emergency works.
90087	HR+M TRANSPORT	1,656,638	1,272,902	383,736	383,736	-	-	-	-	Slippage reflects timing differences for the estimated Citroen vehicle lead times, followed by bespoke cab tailoring to finish the vehicles before introducing them into the operational fleet.
90091	MECHANICAL REPLACEMENT PROG	117,699	128,294	(10,595)	-	-	-	-	(10,595)	Overspend deducted from new Mechanical Replacement Programme. No overall increase in funding required.
90092	ELECTRICAL REPLACEMENT PROG	28,834	-	28,834	28,834	-	-	-	-	Budget to be slipped and request to be submitted to merge with new programme to allow delivery of more outputs.
90094	ROOFING REPLACEMENT PROG	22,006	-	22,006	20,036	-	-	-	1,971	Budget to be slipped following funding of Broomhill Library Roofing overspend.
90095	WINDOW & DOOR REPLACEMENT PROG	77,989	-	77,989	77,989	-	-	-	-	Budget to be slipped and request to be submitted to merge with new programme to allow delivery of more outputs.
90096	STRUCTURAL DEFECTS PROGRAMME	3,847	3,847	0	-	-	-	0	-	0

TRANSPORT & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
90097	DAMS & WATERCOURSES PHASE	77,474	56,000	21,474	21,474	-	-	-	-	There have been delays in this project but the works are still anticipated to be completed by end of the year, however valuations will take time and final payments will be made in 2018/19.
90144	ABBEYDALE IND HAM-STRUCT DEF	42,369	8,000	34,369	34,369	-	-	-	-	Potential further works required. Budget to be slipped while these are clarified..
90147	MEDICO LEGAL FRA	1,880,226	1,903,879	(23,653)	-	-	(23,653)	-	-	Overspend due to additional generator works required, Funded from capital receipts.
90149	TOWN HALL PROJECT	49,971	8,038	41,934	41,934	-	-	-	-	Slippage due to feasibility and Stakeholder analysis still ongoing.
90152	GRANGE CRESCENT FRA (R)	4,510	4,510	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90153	VERDON STREET FRA (R)	2,451	2,451	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90154	WARMINSTER HOSTEL FRA (R)	12,388	(7,612)	20,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	Slippage required due to additional works instructed following fire inspection
90155	STRADBROKE YC FRA (R)	24,143	4,252	19,891	19,891	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as awaiting final account and release of retention
90156	ADLINGTON ROAD CC FRA (R)	52,987	52,987	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90157	COLLEGIATE CRESCENT	(13,701)	(13,701)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
90159	FRA WORKS 16-17	(154,040)	(135,843)	(18,196)	-	(22,410)	-	-	4,214	Acceleration and funding of current year overspends from £578k 18/19 budget
90160	FRA 16-17 BROOMHALL CENTRE	38,723	41,784	(3,061)	-	(999)	-	-	(2,062)	Slight overspend funded but deducted from future allocation. So no additional call on funds
90161	FRA 16-17 CHANCET WOOD	185,142	180,397	4,745	4,745	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90162	FRA 16-17 CLAYWHEELS LANE DEP	95,314	93,876	1,439	1,439	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90163	FRA 16-17 ECCLESFIELD EPU	91,570	90,457	1,113	1,113	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90164	FRA 16-17 HIGH GREEN MINERS W	43,104	42,027	1,077	1,077	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90165	FRA 16-17 HOLLINSEND PARK	-	5,009	(5,009)	-	(5,009)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £44,884 for 2018-19.

TRANSPORT & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
90166	FRA 16-17 JORDANTHORPE LIBR	24,988	24,597	391	391	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90167	FRA 16-17 OSGATHORPE PARK	-	1,875	(1,875)	-	(1,875)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £17,775 for 2018-19 .
90168	FRA 16-17 SORBY HOUSE	-	39,200	(39,200)	-	(39,200)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £358,484 for 2018-19 .
90171	FRA 16-17 STANNINGTON PARK	-	2,001	(2,001)	-	(2,001)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £18,966 for 2018-19.
90173	FRA 16-17 TOTLEY LIBRARY	-	5,824	(5,824)	-	(5,824)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £31,081 for 2018-19.
90175	FRA 16-17 BURNCROSS CEMETERY	-	2,115	(2,115)	-	(2,115)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £35,451 for 2018-19.
90177	FRA 16-17 TINSLEY PARK CEM	-	273	(273)	-	-	-	-	(273)	Slight overspend funded but deducted from future allocation. So no additional call on funds
90178	FRA 16-17 WISEWOOD CEMETERY	-	2,556	(2,556)	-	(2,556)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £22,542 for 2018-19.
90184	FRA 16-17 HILLSBOROUGH PARK	-	220	(220)	-	-	-	-	(220)	Slight overspend funded but deducted from future allocation. So no additional call on funds
90185	FRA 16-17 BEAUCHIEF ABBEY	-	1,810	(1,810)	-	(1,810)	-	-	-	17-18 acceleration, authorised budget of £17,162 for 2018-19 only
90189	FRA 16-17 LOWER MANOR NH CTR	54,930	60,135	(5,205)	-	(5,205)	-	-	-	Acceleration from existing 18-19 budget of £55,430
90191	FRA 16-17 DARNALL HOUSING OFF	-	6,500	(6,500)	-	(6,500)	-	-	-	Acceleration from existing 18-19 budget of £55,808
90193	FRA 16-17 NEWFIELD GREEN LIBR	28,030	27,326	704	704	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as waiting final account and agreement on cost of directs following dispute with contractor
90197	FRA 16-17 GREENHILL BRADWAYYC	29,355	30,833	(1,478)	-	(1,478)	-	-	-	Acceleration from existing 18-19 budget of £29,432
90856	MECHANICAL REPLACEMENT MTC	(125,177)	(125,177)	0	-	-	-	0	-	0
90880	MTC - SHIREGREEN COM CTR	146,489	145,105	1,384	-	-	-	1,384	-	Saving to capital receipts
90880a	MTC - SHIREGREEN COM CTR	-	(421)	421	-	-	-	421	-	Saving to capital receipts
90881	MTC - STRADBROKE COM CTR	173,913	174,514	(601)	-	-	-	-	(601)	Minor overspend funded from Mechanical replacement allocation
90881a	MTC - STRADBROKE COM CTR	-	(380)	380	-	-	-	-	380	Minor underspend returned to Mechanical replacement allocation
92356	MILLENIUM GALLERY LIFE CYCLE	70,368	64,452	5,915	5,915	-	-	-	-	Ongoing commitment to support Millenium Gallery Lifecycle costs.
93479	CBER-FIRE RISK ASS'T 17-19	240,000	-	240,000	240,000	-	-	-	-	To slip £240k as additional sites not yet identified.
93480	CBER-ELECTRICAL 17-19	18,000	11,886	6,114	6,114	-	-	-	-	Slippage on design fees (£3.1k) and on survey costs (£3k) due to later start than anticipated.

TRANSPORT & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
93481	CBER-ROOFING 17-19	170,382	222,331	(51,948)	-	(51,948)	-	-	-	£51.9k is accelerated spend from a 2018-19 budget of £309,618.
93482	CBER-WINDOWS/DOORS 17-19	10,980	12,088	(1,108)	-	(1,108)	-	-	-	Accelerated spend of £1.1k of design fees from 2018-19 budget of £389,021.
93483	CBER-StrUTURE 17-19	13,817	27,570	(13,753)	-	(13,753)	-	-	-	Acceleration on 2018/19 budget of 470k.
93484	CBER-LIFTS 17-19	161,206	40,750	120,456	120,456	-	-	-	-	Delayed programme associated with HR issues within Corporate Repairs Service/Lift Section.
93485	CBER-PATHS/SURFACING 17-19	-	1,339	(1,339)	-	(1,339)	-	-	-	Minor acceleration of fees against £140k budget in 18/19
93486	CBER-DAMS & WATERCOURSES17-19	199,000	195,046	3,954	3,954	-	-	-	-	Slight slippage on delivery of programme.
93487	CBER-CONDITION SURVEYS 17-19	18,000	17,588	412	412	-	-	-	-	Small slippage, as part of an ongoing project with £660k total budget.
93488	FRA 17-18 BROOMHILL LIBRARY	30,806	32,496	(1,690)	-	(1,690)	-	-	-	Acceleration from existing 18-19 budget of £29,214
93489	FRA 17-18 FRECHEVILLE LIBRARY	18,393	18,060	333	333	-	-	-	-	Slippage required to complete project.
93491	DARNALL EDUCATION CENTRE	590,638	294,263	296,375	296,375	-	-	-	-	An amended programme of works submitted by contractor has increased construction time.
93492	MECHANICAL REPLACE MTC TFM	688,960	1,181	687,779	676,963	-	-	-	10,816	Overspend on Mechanical Replacement Programme deducted from new Mechanical Replacement Programme. No overall increase in funding required.
93494	BEREAVEMENT SERVS -CITY RD RC	-	1,000	(1,000)	-	-	-	-	(1,000)	Minor residual costs funded from Health & Safety Block Allocation. No additional funding required.
93505	NORTON CEMETERY BOUNDARY WALL	10,417	10,417	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
93506	BROOMHILL LIBRARY GDN BD WALL	8,500	8,494	6	-	-	-	6	-	0
93507	HILLSBRO' PARK STABLE BLOCK	7,693	3,443	4,250	4,250	-	-	-	-	Slippage required as full budget for scheme required. £39k budgeted for 18/19
94362	BROOMHILL LIBRARY	28,617	30,588	(1,971)	-	-	-	-	(1,971)	Minor overspend on roofing works. Funded from slippage on Roofing Replacement Programme. No additional calls on funds.

TRANSPORT & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

Appendix 3

Project Number	Scheme Title	Approved Expenditure Budget	OEO Expenditure 31/03/18 (Qtier)	Variance	Slippage	Accelerated Spend	Overspend	UnderSpend	Internal Adjusment	Comments
94383	CITY ROAD CREM ACCESS	-	4,065	(4,065)	-	-	-	-	(4,065)	Minor residual costs funded from Health & Safety Block Allocation. No additional funding required.
97941	CBT WINCOBANK COMMUNITY BLDG	-	16	(16)	-	-	(16)	-	-	Minor overspend funded from capital receipts.
Q0073	HEALTH & SAFETY COMPLIANCE	845,612	-	845,612	840,547	-	-	-	5,065	Historic allocation for compliance works less funding of overspending schemes at Bereavement Services-Crookes and City Rd Crem. Access. Slippage requested for continuation of programme.
93508	PARK CENTRE DRY ROT	9,572	5,486	4,087	4,087	-	-	-	-	Ongoing project slippage required for completion.
93516	FRA ANN'S ROAD YC	15,005	16,664	(1,659)	-	-	-	-	(1,659)	Slight overspend funded but deducted from future allocation. So no additional call on funds
93517	FRA STANNINGTON COMM CENTRE	49,155	104,632	(55,477)	-	-	-	-	(55,477)	Missposting f costs to be corrected in 18/19
Total		8,411,177	5,589,875	2,821,302	3,052,758	(166,820)	(23,669)	14,510	(55,477)	

This page is intentionally left blank



Author/Lead Officer of Report: Steve Howard
 Service Manager, Income Management &
 Financial Inclusion
Tel: 2052527

Report of: Lorraine Manley
Report to: Cabinet
Date of Decision: 23rd May 2018
Subject: Contract to provide agency services to Yorkshire Water for collection of water rates.

Is this a Key Decision? If Yes, reason Key Decision:-	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
- Expenditure and/or savings over £500,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
- Affects 2 or more Wards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Which Cabinet Member Portfolio does this relate to? Neighbourhoods and Community Safety Which Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee does this relate to? Safer and Stronger Communities	
Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? 270	
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the report and/or appendices and complete below:- <i>"The appendix is not for publication because it contains exempt information under Paragraph (3) of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended)."</i>	

Purpose of Report:
 The report is seeking approval to enter into a new three year contract with Yorkshire Water to collect water rates from Council housing tenants as an agent of Yorkshire Water.

Recommendations:

- 1) That Cabinet agrees that the Council enter into a three year collection contract with Yorkshire Water to collect water rates from Council housing tenants on the terms and for the reasons outlined in the report and :
- 2) Delegate authority to the Executive Director of Place, in consultation with the Director of Legal and Governance to finalise terms and complete all necessary documentation.

Background Papers:

N/A

Lead Officer to complete:-	
1	I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms completed / EIA completed, where required.
	Finance: Karen Jones
	Legal: Deepak Parmar
Equalities: Louise Nunn	
<i>Legal, financial/commercial and equalities implications must be included within the report and the name of the officer consulted must be included above.</i>	
2	EMT member who approved submission: Lorraine Manley
3	Cabinet Member consulted: Jayne Dunn
4	I confirm that all necessary approval has been obtained in respect of the implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist and that the report has been approved for submission to the Decision Maker by the EMT member indicated at 2. In addition, any additional forms have been completed and signed off as required at 1.
	Lead Officer Name: Steve Howard
	Job Title: Income management & Financial Inclusion, Council Housing Services
Date: 14 May 2018	

1. PROPOSAL

- 1.1 The proposal is for the Council to continue to act as agents for Yorkshire Water and collect water rates from Council housing tenants on behalf of Yorkshire Water. The Council will provide collection services for Yorkshire Water.
- 1.2 The Council currently acts as agents for Yorkshire Water to collect water rates from Council housing tenants in the Yorkshire Water region (N.B. 10% of Council tenants are supplied by Severn Trent. Tenants who have water meters pay directly to Yorkshire Water)
- 1.3 Our current working arrangement with Yorkshire Water is a long standing one and provides an efficient and cost effective route for tenants, the Council and Yorkshire Water to manage the collection of water rates. As part of the arrangement the Council receives a payment from Yorkshire Water for administering the scheme.
- 1.4 Water rates are added to the net rent account and then charged on a weekly basis. There is no difference in charges for tenants who pay water rates as part of their rent. They pay the same yearly amount to the Council as they would if they had their water rates collected directly by Yorkshire Water. Making one combined payment is more convenient for tenants.
- 1.5 The Council is flexible and typically will make arrangements for tenants to pay weekly, fortnightly or monthly. Weekly payments can be particularly helpful for those who may be budgeting on a week by week basis.
- 1.6 The previous contract with Yorkshire Water expired on 31 March 2018. As a short term measure to ensure continuity until the contract arrangements are approved the Council has entered into an interim arrangement with Yorkshire Water to provide collection services of water rates from 1st April to 31st May 2018 on the same terms as the previous arrangement.
- 1.7 This Cabinet report seeks approval to enter into a new three year contract with Yorkshire Water
- 1.8 This proposal requires approval from Cabinet due to its financial value and that the contract will affect more than two wards.

2. HOW DOES THIS DECISION CONTRIBUTE?

- 2.1 The proposal contributes to the ambitions of the Corporate Plan for Sheffield to be an in touch organisation, committed to tackling inequalities and supporting thriving neighbourhoods and

communities.

- 2.2 The recommendation will maintain an arrangement which provides an easy and cost effective route for tenants and Yorkshire Water respectively to manage payment of water rates. The Council also receives an income stream which contributes to the Council's overall budget.

3. HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONSULTATION?

- 3.1 There has been regular consultation with customers over the years and there is a tenant's rents group all of whom give regular feedback that they regard the collection of water rates by the Council as a benefit. The reason for this is it allows tenants to have one payment method; to combine payments of rent and water rates ensuring they are better able to manage their money and allows them to spread payments and pay weekly or fortnightly if they wish.

4. RISK ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

4.1 Equality of Opportunity Implications

- 4.1.1 No changes are proposed as a result of this proposal

4.2 Financial and Commercial Implications

- 4.2.1 The Council will receive payment from Yorkshire Water for its services under the contract. This will cover the cost of operation and is factored into the Council's overall budget position.
- 4.2.2 The financial value of the new arrangement and its operation are detailed in the appendix 1.
- 4.2.3 The Council will enter into negotiations with Yorkshire Water in order to deal with any outstanding arrears due from the Council to Yorkshire Water. These negotiations will take place prior to entering the contract.

4.3 Legal Implications

- 4.3.1 The Council has the power to enter into such agreement under the power of general competence found in S1 of the Localism Act 2011.

- 4.3.2 The Council will act as an agent on behalf of Yorkshire Water and will provide a collection service in order to collect water rates from Council tenants.
- 4.3.3 Yorkshire Water falls outside of the scope of the Utilities Contracts Regulations 2016; therefore, the Council does need to tender for these services under any formal procurement route.
- 4.3.4 The contract provides that the Council has previously and will continue to act as agents for the purposes of carrying out the collection service. This is in contrast to the situation in the 2016 case of *Kim Jones v Southwark LBC* where Southwark Council was held to be a purchaser and re-seller of water services rather than acting as agents for the water company.
- 4.3.5 In light of the above ruling, The Council shall be mindful of the provisions found in the Water Industry Act 1991 and the Water Resale Order 2006. The Council will mitigate this risk by entering into a formal three year agreement with Yorkshire Water for the purposes of providing a collection service on behalf of Yorkshire Water as agent. The Council shall set out clearly within the agreement that the Council is not a reseller of water services to Council tenants.
- 4.3.6 The Council will set out clearly the terms on which the collection service will be provided. The Council will also ensure its commercial position is protected within the formal agreement and to ensure any personal data is protected.

4.4 Other Issues.

- 4.4.1 Measures are in place to help tenants on low incomes. Yorkshire Water operates a discretionary 'Water Support' scheme aimed at customers whose water rates are higher than average and are financially vulnerable. Although this is a cash limited pot, Council staff last year supported around 800 tenants to apply for water support ensuring that they were not charged more than average water charges for the year, a reduction in charges circa £163k for Council tenants. This scheme is in addition to Council administered discretionary funds.

5.0 **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

- 5.1 The Council could notify that it no longer wishes to act as an agent for Yorkshire Water. This would however result in

- A reduction in service for tenants who would have to make payments direct to Yorkshire Water and would also not have support to apply for water support grants.
- Yorkshire Water would require time to develop alternative arrangements to collect outstanding water rates
- A reduction in income to the Council.

6. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 6.1 The preferred option continues to provide a valued service to tenants via existing long standing operational arrangements and maintains an income stream to the Council.

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank